Semen quality parameters, their inter-relationship and post-washing sperm attributes of Rhode Island Red roosters
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Abstract

Aim: The present experiments were conducted (a) to evaluate the semen attributes of older Rhode Island Red (RIR) roosters and the inter-trait relationships, (b) to test sperm washing and storage duration suitable for gene transfer experiments.

Materials and Methods: The semen characteristics of older RIR roosters were studied, and Pearson correlation analysis was done to demonstrate the inter-trait relationships. Progressive motility and percent live sperms were tested at different post-washing intervals to identify suitable sperm processing conditions for gene transfer experiments.

Results: The volume, appearance score, initial motility, sperm count and percent live and abnormal spermatozoa were 0.38 ml, 3.58, 80.34%, 4.03 x 10⁹ sperms/ml, 83.18% and 4.52% respectively. Positive correlation was observed among appearance score, motility, live sperm and sperm count. Semen volume is negatively correlated with all the other characters except live sperms, whereas, percent abnormal sperms negatively associated with all the other traits. Significant (p<0.05) decrease in terms of motility and live sperm was recorded at 60 min post-washing.

Conclusion: The semen attributes of RIR roosters compares well with the other breeds of chicken. The appearance score can be used to assess fertility where microscopic evaluation facilities are limited. The sperm washing protocol tested in the experiment is suitable for gene transfer experiments.
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Introduction

Semen evaluation by macroscopic and microscopic methods is the tool to evaluate male fertility in roosters [1]. Macroscopic parameters like color, consistency, appearance score and volume and the microscopic traits like concentration, initial motility, abnormal sperms and percent dead sperms are used in semen evaluation [2]. Among all, initial motility is considered as the single reliable characteristic of semen for identifying the fertilizing ability of the roosters [3].

The semen characteristics of avian species are different to a greater degree from that of mammals owing to the diverse physiology and anatomy like the intra-abdominal placement of testes and absence of accessory sex glands [4]. Moreover, the sperms of chicken are morphologically different from those of mammals [4] and very fragile to high environmental temperature and temperature fluctuations at cryopreservation [5]. The semen of avian species is highly concentrated with very minimal seminal plasma [4].

The semen quality in roosters was found to be varied among different genotypes like breed [6], strain [7] and line [8]. The semen quality parameters reported for different breeds like White Leghorn [9], Plymouth Rock [8], Rhode Island Red (RIR) [10] and indigenous roosters [11] demonstrated high degree of variation. Age of roosters is also found to interact with semen quality parameters [12]. The environmental factors having influence on semen quality are climate [13], time of collection [14], frequency of collection [15] and nutrition [16]. The literature provides little information on the semen quality of RIR roosters and especially there is total paucity on semen attributes of older RIR roosters.

The individual sperm motility of indigenous roosters was found to have significant (p<0.01) positive correlation with live sperm, sperm concentration and sperm output in local chickens [17]. The inter-relationship of semen quality parameters has been reported for broiler breeders [18], indigenous [11], hybrid [19] and a pool of several breeds [6], but little has been reported for RIR breed.

Semenal plasma of chicken has DNAse activity [20], therefore, its removal is necessary for sperm-mediated gene transfer experiments. The duration of DNA-sperm interaction in chicken is usually <1 h in most of the sperm-mediated gene transfer experiments [21,22]. The viability of sperms at the...
time of insemination after washing and holding the washed cells for DNA-sperm interaction is the key factor in a successful production of transgenic birds by this method.

This study was aimed at investigating the semen quality parameters and their inter-relationship of 1-year-old RIR roosters and the post washing sperm quality, as the literature provide very little information on the subject.

**Materials and Methods**

**Ethical approval**

This study was conducted after approval by the research committee and Institutional Animal Ethics Committee.

A total of 20 adult healthy male birds of RIR breed kept at the layer farm of Central Avian Research Institute were utilized for this study. All the selected birds were positive respondents to abdominal massage method in the morning as per Lake and Stewart [23]. In the first experiment, the roosters were grouped randomly into four groups of five roosters each. One group of roosters was milked in a day and continued till four collections from each rooster were obtained and evaluated. The average of four observations from each rooster was taken as the data for the particular rooster for further analysis.

The semen was collected by abdominal massage method in the morning as per Lake and Stewart [23]. In the first experiment, the roosters were grouped randomly into four groups of five roosters each. One group of roosters was milked in a day and continued with other groups on daily basis in an order so that each group could be milked on every 5th day. This was continued till four collections from each rooster were obtained and evaluated. The color, appearance score, volume, initial motility, sperm count and percent abnormal sperms were estimated from each collection. The average of four observations from each rooster was taken as the data for the particular rooster for further analysis.

The appearance of semen was scored 1-5 by visual examination following the method described by McDaniel and Craig [24]. Semen volume was measured by a tuberculin syringe graduated up to an accuracy of 0.01 ml. The assessment of motility was conducted by examining a drop of semen on a warm slide under a microscope at x100. Motility was assessed on the basis of the percentage of sperm showing forward motion [23].

The sperm concentration was estimated as per the procedure suggested by Ax et al. [25] using a “Neubaur” hemocytometer and the concentration of spermatozoa was expressed in million/ml or x 10^6/ml of semen. To estimate the percentage of live and dead spermatozoa, the staining method described by Lake and Stewart [23] was adopted with some modifications. Briefly, a solution of nigrosine (0.6 g) and eosin (0.16 g) was made in 100 ml sodium citrate solvent. 200 μl of eosin-nigrosine stain was placed in a clean test tube, and semen was mixed well with the stain. After allowing the stain-semen mixture to stand for about 1 min at room temperature, a drop of it was placed on a clean and grease-free slide, a thin smear was prepared quickly and examined with a 100 x oil immersion objective. A differential count on a sample of 300 spermatoza (50 spermatoza in each of 6 microscopic fields) was made categorizing the stained and partially stained sperms as ‘dead’ and unstained sperms as “live.” The smears as prepared for live sperm estimation were used to study the percentage of abnormal spermatoza [23]. A total of 200 spermatoza were counted under the oil immersion objective of the microscope and classified as either normal or abnormal, and the total percent of abnormal spermatoza was calculated.

In the second experiment, three aliquots of each 100 μl for control (T1) and an aliquot of 500 μl for sperm washing treatment (T2) were analyzed to study the effect of washing and storage time on sperm motility. The aliquots of T1 were allowed to stand at 4°C storage and from this nigrosine-eosin stained semen smears were prepared at 0, 30 and 60 min to study percent live sperm. In T2, the semen sperms were washed as per the protocol optimized by the authors. Briefly, 500 μl of semen was centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 5 min at 5°C to remove the seminal plasma. The sperm pellet was then dispersed homogeneously in three initial volumes (1500 μl) of CARI semen extender [26]. The sperm cells suspended in semen extender were then centrifuged, and the supernatant containing contaminants like fecal matter, uric acid and red blood cells were discarded. The sperm cells were washed once more, and the final sperm pellet was dispersed in semen extender in the final volume of 1000 μl. After washing the sperms swiftly for three times, three aliquots of 100 μl were allowed to stand at 4°C for analysis one each at 0, 30 and 60 min post-washing for motility and live sperms by the methods described earlier. This was replicated 6 times in both the treatments to get mean values.

Statistical analysis to extract the descriptive statistics on different quality characteristics was carried out by SPSS computer program provided by SPSS Inc., USA. The effect of sperm washing on various quality parameters at different post-washing periods was performed by the SPSS program using ANOVA. When the F values were significant (p<0.05), Duncan’s multiple range test was performed. Student’s t-test was carried out to find the differences in motility and live sperms between control and washed semen. The correlation coefficients between different quality parameters and regression coefficients to explain the trend in motility and live sperms on storage were also extracted from the data using SPSS program.

**Results**

The examination of color of all the 80 semen samples revealed that majority of the ejaculates were thick white or chalky white (62.50%) followed by yellow or transparent yellow (17.50%), creamy white (13.75%) and thin white or watery (6.25%). The
descriptive statistics on volume, appearance score, initial motility, sperm count and percent live and abnormal spermatozoa were 0.38 ml, 3.58, 80.34%, 4.03 × 10^9 sperms/ml, 83.18% and 4.52% respectively (Table-1).

The correlation co-efficient values between various quality parameters are given in Table-2. The results proved that the semen volume was negatively correlated with all the other characters except live sperms with coefficient values of volume with initial motility and sperm count assuming significantly (p<0.05) higher degree. The appearance score had a very strong (p<0.01) positive association with vital fertility parameters such as motility, live sperm and sperm count. The initial motility was found to have a positive correlation of very high degree (p<0.01) with live sperm and sperm count. The abnormal sperms had a negative correlation of insignificant (p>0.05) scale with other characters like volume, appearance score, initial motility, live sperms, and sperm count.

The changes on motility and live sperms resulted from washing and storage of spermatozoa is presented in Table-3. There was no significant difference noticed in terms of motility and live sperms between different post-washing storage periods in unwashed control semen (T1). Significant difference in terms of motility (p<0.05) and live sperm (p<0.01) was recorded between storage periods in the washed sperms (T2) with 60 min storage resulting in significant decrease of both motility and live sperm compared with 0 and 30 min storage. The regression analysis revealed a significant (p<0.05) decline of live sperms on storage in control as well as washed sperms. Student’s t-test conducted to find a treatment effect revealed that washing had resulted in significant (p<0.05) decrease in motility and live sperms at all the post-washing time intervals except motility at 30 min.

**Discussion**

The examination of color of the semen samples revealed that most of the samples (86.25%) fell into the categories of thick white/chalky white or creamy white, which are considered as suitable for insemination. The age of the roosters may be taken as the contributing factor for the yield of yellow or transparent yellow or thin white or watery semen from a considerable number of roosters under study.

The volume of semen per ejaculate in the study agreed with the findings of Shanmugam et al. [27] in 65 weeks old RIR roosters (0.36 ml) but lower than the volume reported at a younger age of 42 weeks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Volume (ml)</th>
<th>Appearance score</th>
<th>Initial motility (%)</th>
<th>Sperm count (×10^9/ml)</th>
<th>Live spermatozoa (%)</th>
<th>Abnormal spermatozoa (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean±SE</td>
<td>0.38±0.02</td>
<td>3.58±0.25</td>
<td>80.34±3.37</td>
<td>4.03±0.22</td>
<td>83.18±2.47</td>
<td>4.52±10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>5.63</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>26.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV (%)</td>
<td>25.37</td>
<td>30.11</td>
<td>17.91</td>
<td>23.45</td>
<td>12.68</td>
<td>46.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CV=Coefficient of variation, SE=Standard error, RIR=Rhode Island Red

| Table-2: Correlation matrix of different semen quality characters of RIR roosters. |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Characters                      | Volume                          | Appearance score                | Initial motility                | Live sperms                    | Sperm count                     | Abnormal spermatozoa             |
| Volume                          | 1.00                            |                                |                                 |                                 |                                 |                                 |
| Appearance score                |                                | 1.00                            |                                 |                                 |                                 |                                 |
| Initial motility                |                                |                                | 1.00                            |                                 |                                 |                                 |
| Live sperms                     |                                |                                |                                | 0.86**                          | 1.00                            |                                 |
| Sperm count                     |                                |                                |                                | 0.63**                          | 0.46*                           | 1.00                            |
| Abnormal spermatozoa            |                                |                                |                                | -0.37                           | -0.33                           | -0.26                           | 1.00                            |

RIR=Rhode Island Red, **Significant (p<0.01), *Significant (p<0.05)

| Table-3: The influence of washing of spermatozoa and duration of storage on motility and live sperms of RIR roosters. |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Parameters          | Treatments                          | Duration of storage                                           | Overall F value | Regression coefficient |
| Motility (%)        | T1 - Control                        | 0 min             | 30 min            | 60 min             |
|                     | T2 - Washed sperms                 | 88.20±1.70        | 86.39±1.71        | 83.62±1.42         | 86.07±0.95            | 2.03*                          | −2.29NS                         |
|                     | Overall                             | 85.79±1.08        | 84.40±1.02        | 81.72±0.95         | 83.97±0.60            | 4.10*                          | −2.04NS                         |
| t value             | t value                             | 5.51*             | 4.05NS            | 4.32*              | 13.26**              |                                 |                                 |
| Live sperms (%)     | T1 - Control                        | 84.30±1.72        | 82.10±1.81        | 79.32±1.55         | 81.91±1.00            | 2.17NS                         | −2.49*                          |
|                     | T2 - Washed sperms                 | 79.13±1.24        | 76.37±1.15        | 72.92±1.15         | 76.14±0.81            | 5.66**                         | −3.11*                          |
|                     | Overall                             | 81.72±1.12        | 79.24±1.25        | 76.12±1.08         | 79.02±0.69            | 5.90**                         | −2.80*                          |
| t value             | t value                             | 5.97*             | 5.94*             | 11.01**            | 20.11**              |                                 |                                 |

*Mean values bearing different superscript in a row do not differ significantly (p<0.05), *Significant (p<0.05), **Significant (p<0.01), NS=Not significant
(0.48 ml) in the same population. In general, earlier reports revealed higher values of 0.43 [16], 0.51 [28] and 0.7 and 0.8 ml [29] in younger RIR roosters evaluated between 28 and 35 weeks of age. When compared with different types of chicken, the volume of ejaculate obtained in this study was intermediate, which was lower than that of broiler breeders [18] but higher than that of layer breeders [7,9] and native chicken [17].

The appearance score value of 3.58 observed in the study agreed with the report of Murugesan et al. [7] in IWH strain of White Leghorn aged between 62 and 68 weeks, but intermediate with the highly variable values reported in the literature in naked neck (3.94) and dwarf (3.84) genetic lines [30] and Beijing-You chickens (2.40) [11]. This parameter has not been studied adequately in RIR males and a lone study [10] reports a lower value of 2.96.

The initial motility observed in the study was similar to the findings of Uysal et al. [29] in two RIR lines (84.4 and 85.0%) at 35 weeks of age. The wide variations in motility of 45% [27], 66.8% [28] and 73.83% [16] reported in the literature could be ascribed to the difference in strain, age and geographical location.

The sperm count (billion cells/ml) observed in the study was in line with the findings of Shanmugam et al. [27], who observed 4.8 and 3.57 respectively in 42 and 65 weeks of age in Dahlem Red strain of RIR. The other earlier experiments conducted in RIR roosters aged between 28 and 35 weeks demonstrated lower values of 3.3 and 3.2 [29] or very low values of 0.75 [28] and 1.97 [16]. The earlier reports on other breeds [6,7,9,30], and hybrids [18,19] also demonstrated a high degree of variation with the values ranging between 1.17 [11] and 8.9 [8].

The percent live sperms of RIR roosters subjected to this study compares favorably with the previous reports on the same breed [10,29]. In contrast, Shanmugam et al. [27] reported higher values of 91.21 and 92.12% from RIR roosters of 42 and 65 weeks of age. The literature provides evidence for a wide variation of live spermatozoa ranging from 58.88% [7] to 86.6% [17] in older roosters of other breeds.

The present value on abnormal spermatozoa fell within the range of 3.2% and 6.13% reported by Uysal et al. [29] and Shanmugam et al. [27] respectively in RIR roosters. However, evidence for higher level of abnormal spermatozoa in RIR roosters (11.07%) by Kabir et al. [10] and other breeds (16.58%) by Galal [31] is also available in the literature.

The higher coefficient of variation observed in the study, especially in volume, appearance score, abnormal spermatozoa and sperm count reflects wide variation in the data. The age of the birds may be a contributor for this variation that is also reflected in minimum and maximum values.

The strong negative association between semen volume and sperm count leads the authors to hypothesize that the semen samples of higher volumes were diluted by the transparent lymphatic fluid extraction while collection. This further strengthens the earlier finding of agonistic effect of lymphatic fluid on sperm motility [32] as the present study also revealed a strong (p<0.05) negative association between semen volume and motility. The lower motility in semen ejaculates of higher volume may be ascribed to the presence of more lymphatic fluid. The appearance score having a very strong (p<0.01) positive association with other vital fertility parameters is in expected line and consistent with earlier observations [33]. This finding tends to have vast field applications were microscopic evaluation facilities are limited. Initial motility was found to have a positive correlation of very high degree (p<0.01) with live sperm and sperm count as reported earlier [17]. This strengthens earlier suggestions [3] of considering motility as the single important semen characteristic in assessing the fertility of the rooster. It is also evident from the study that ejaculates having higher sperm count ought to have a higher percent live sperms as these parameters have high (p<0.05) degree of association on the positive scale. Though not significant (p>0.05), the percent abnormal sperms, a contributing factor for poor fertility having negative association with all the other characters such as volume, appearance score, initial motility, live sperms and sperm count which are contributory for good fertility is well in the expected line.

The washed spermatozoa generally had lower motility and percent live sperms at any point of storage. Earlier data [34] has also shown that washing of spermatozoa was detrimental to their viability. The present study revealed that washing of spermatozoa resulted in significant (p<0.05) deterioration of motility and percent live sperms at 60 min post-washing. Despite the decline observed in the study, the semen washed as per the protocol optimized by the authors described earlier had more than 70% motility and live sperms at 60 min post-washing, indicating its suitability for artificial insemination. The duration of exogenous DNA-sperm interaction in sperm-mediated gene transfer experiments in chicken is normally 1 h or less [21,22]. Therefore, the sperm washing procedure followed in this study can be considered as optimum for the purpose.

Conclusion

The semen attributes of RIR roosters compares well with the other breeds of chicken. The age of the roosters may be taken as the contributing factor for a considerable number of roosters yielding semen of inferior color and consistency. The lower motility in semen ejaculates of higher volume may be ascribed to the presence of more lymphatic fluid. The abnormal sperms, a contributing factor for poor fertility having negative association with all the other characters like volume, appearance score, initial motility, live
sperms and sperm count which are contributory for good fertility is well in the expected line. Despite the decline observed on storage, the semen washed as per the protocol optimized by the authors had more than 70% motility and live sperms at 60 min post-washing, indicating its suitability for sperm-mediated gene transfer experiments.
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