Effect of a specific combination of carvacrol, cinnamaldehyde, and Capsicum oleoresin on the growth performance, carcass quality and gut integrity of broiler chickens
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Abstract

Aim: The effect of a specific combination (SC) of carvacrol, cinnamaldehyde, and Capsicum oleoresin was investigated on productive performance and immune response in broiler chickens.

Materials and Methods: Six hundred one-day-old broiler chickens were randomly allocated into two groups for 5 weeks. The SC was supplemented at 100 ppm of ration (presence or absence).

Results: Treatment of broiler chickens with the SC improved productive performance variables as compared with the blank control birds. It decreased total mortality, increased final body weight, weight gain, production number and decreased final feed conversion ratio (FCR) (P<0.05). The SC had a positive effect on carcass quality and enhanced HI titer against Newcastle disease (ND) virus vaccine, as compared to their untreated control group (P<0.05). The SC treated birds had higher values of intestinal diameter than the control ones.

Conclusion: It could be concluded that administration of a specific combination of carvacrol, cinnamaldehyde, and Capsicum oleoresin to broiler chickens improved chicken zootechnical performance response variables, had a potent immuno-modulatory effect (potentiated immune response) and improved gut integrity. Eventually, this combination could be used as a replacement to the controversial feed additives (antibiotic growth promoters).
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Introduction

In view of rising concerns on the extensive use of antibiotics in animal production, there is an increasing interest for developing alternative disease control strategy to enhance animal health and to reduce the use of antimicrobials. One promising new possibility to achieve this goal is the use of natural herbal products to enhance feed efficiency, gut health, and innate immunity [1, 2]. The American Medical Association urged that the use of antimicrobial growth promoters (AGP) in animals should be terminated [3]. Consequently, many governments around the world are banning or severely limiting the use of antibiotics at non-therapeutic levels. All AGP have been banned in the European Union (EU) since the year 2006. Eventually, many other countries will have to follow these measures to export to the EU. Consequently, the poultry industry may be adversely affected by disease challenge and loss of production because of those restrictions [4]. The European ban on AGP use in animal and poultry nutrition has increased infections [5]. Raising poultry without antibiotics resulted in poor performance and enteritis that is economic problem [6] and animal welfare problem [7]. The challenge will be to fight microbial imbalances in young animals. Feed formulators need efficient alternatives to use as commodities. Consequently, natural alternatives concepts based on natural ingredients for non-AGP and antibacterial action are highly commendable. These alternatives such as probiotics, prebiotics and acidifiers successfully been used by many investigators [8-11]. Herbal products and their oil extracts have been used for natural therapy as pharmaceuticals; however, only in recent years aromatic plants and their extracts were introduced to the animal feeding [12]. Further complications arise because herbal products and their oil extracts feed additives may vary widely with respect to botanical origin, processing, and composition. Most studies investigate blends of various active compounds and report the effects on production performance rather than the physiological impacts [13-15]. Several types of herbal products and their oil extracts have enhanced broiler performance and resulted in growth-promoting effects [13]. The proposed mode of action of herbal products is attributed to their antimicrobial properties [5, 14, 16, 17], antiviral [18], oxidative-resistant activity [15, 19], anti-inflammatory [5, 20], enhancement of the immune system [1, 2, 17] and other biological effects [14, 21, 22] and consequently, improvement in poultry performance.
Table-1: Composition of the broilers 3-phase diets (g/kg as fed) and their calculated chemical composition.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ingredients</th>
<th>Starter</th>
<th>Finisher</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yellow corn</td>
<td>52.45</td>
<td>62.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soybean meal 44%</td>
<td>33.24</td>
<td>22.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corn gluten meal 60%</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>6.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Di-calcium phosphate</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>1.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lime stone 1.30</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>1.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DL-Methionine</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lysine hydrochloride</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium chloride</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Premix</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Calculated composition</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude protein (%)</td>
<td>23.00</td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metabolizable energy (kcal/kg)</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>3100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Each 3 gram of premix contained: vitamin A (trans-retinyl acetate), 9,000 IU; vitamin D3 (cholecalciferol), 2,600 IU; vitamin E (d-a-tocopheryl acetate), 16 mg; vitamin B1, 1.6 mg; vitamin B2, 6.5 mg; vitamin B6, 2.2 mg; vitamin B12 (cyanocobalamin), 0.015 mg; vitamin K3, 2.5mg; choline (choline chloride), 300 mg; nicotinic acid, 30 mg; pantothenic acid (d-calcium pantothenate), 10 mg; folic acid, 0.6 mg; d-biotin, 0.07 mg; manganese (MnO), 70 mg; zinc (ZnO), 60 mg; iron (FeSO4.H2O), 40 mg; copper (CuSO4.5H2O), 7 mg; iodine [Ca(IO3)2], 1 mg; selenium (NaSeO3), 0.3 mg.

However, the results of the experiments that have been carried out to evaluate the response of broiler chicks to herbal products and their oil extracts feed additives are inconclusive and presented a scattered picture. Whereas, some investigators have reported no significant improvement in broilers performance due to the herbal products or their oil extracts feed additives [4, 16, 23].

This paper highlights the activities of usage of a specific combination containing microencapsulated active substances of Carvacrol, Cinnamaldehyde, and Capsicum oleoresin on the growth performance and carcass quality of broiler chickens.

**Materials and Methods**

**Ethical approval:** The experiment was carried out according to the National regulations on animal welfare and approved by Institutional Animal Ethical Committee.

The present study was carried out at the Poultry Research Center, Department of Animal Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University, Giza, Egypt.

**Experimental birds:** One-day-old Arbor Acres Plus male broiler chickens were used in this semi-field trial. Chicks were housed in semi-closed house. The chickens were provided with 24 hours light throughout the first three days, then 23 hours light and 1 hour dark until slaughter time (35 days). Chickens were fed a commercial starter diet (23% crude protein and 3000 kcal ME/kg diet) during the first two weeks of age, commercial grower diet (22% crude protein and 3150 kcal ME/kg diet) from 2-4 weeks of age, and then commercial finisher diet (19% crude protein and 3200 kcal ME/kg diet). Semduramicin was added to the ration at a concentration of 25 ppm as a coccidiostat. No antibiotics were added to the ration. The diets compositions are indicated in Table-1. Feed and water were available ad libitum. All chickens were vaccinated against Newcastle disease vaccine (ND) at 7th and 21st day of age by using live Hitchner B1 and La Sota strain vaccines, respectively. Live infectious bursal disease vaccine (IBD) was administrated at 14th day of age. Drinking water method was used as route of administration of live vaccines. On 10th day of age, 0.5 ml inactivated Avian Influenza vaccine (H5N1), was injected subcutaneously in the back of neck.

**Experimental design:** The chickens (n=600) were allotted into two equal groups (1-2) of 300 birds assigned into 10 replicates of 30 each. All pens were located in a same environmentally controlled room. All groups ran contemporaneously. Chickens of group 1 fed on a standard feed ration. Chickens of group 2 fed on a standard feed containing a specific combination (SC) of Carvacrol, Cinnamaldehyde, and Capsicum oleoresin. This product is containing microencapsulated active plant extracts consisting of 5.04% carvacrol (C9H8O3; from oregano, *Origanum spp.*), 2.9% cinnamaldehyde (C9H8O3; from cinnamon, *Cinnamomum spp.*), and 2.18% capsicum oleoresin (C18H21NO3; from Mexican pepper, *Capsicum annuum*). This combination has been supplemented at 100 g/ton in the feeds via a premix, on a vegetal carrier.

**Productive performance and carcass characteristics:** Chicken performance response variables were determined [40]. Weekly individually body weight (wt.) and wt. gain were measured on all birds. Weekly feed consumption (g/d/bird), feed conversion ratio (FCR) (g feed/g live body wt. gain), and mortality rate were measured for each replicate. Dead birds were weighed to include their weights in the feed conversion estimation. An index of productivity is the so-called production number [which equals (kilograms of growth per day x (100 - mortality %) / FCR) x 100] [24] was estimated for each replicate, at the end of the experimental period. Feed consumption was calculated, for each subgroup weekly to investigate the feed conversion ratio (FCR) (g feed/g live body weight). FCR was calculated, for each subgroup, as follows: Feed intake for the subgroup (kg) divided by the total body weight gained for the same subgroup (kg). The total body weights included dead birds during the week.
Daily mortalities were recorded for each subgroup. The European production efficiency factor (EPEF) was estimated at the end of the experimental period, for each subgroup. EPEF was calculated as follows: 

\[
\text{EPEF} = \frac{\text{Average live body weight (Kg) x Livability (%) x 100}}{\text{Marketing age (day)xFCR}}.
\]

Carcass characteristics (dressing %, front part %, hind part %, breast meat %, thigh with drumstick meat %, carcass meat %, and giblets (liver + heart + gizzard %) were measured at 5 weeks of age, on three birds from each replicate.

On 35 day of age, determination of the relative spleen, thymus and BF weights, as a percent of the fasting live body weights, were performed on three birds from each replicate.

Intestinal length (duodenum + jejunum + ileum) and diameter (in the middle of ileum) were measured on three birds from each replicate.

To investigate the possible effect of the SC on the humoral immunity; an immunoassay was adopted. For this purpose, blood samples were collected from wing vein from 30 randomly selected birds at weekly intervals (1-5 weeks of age) from each group (3 birds/replicate). The serum samples were subjected to haemagglutination inhibition (HI) test for determining antibody titers against ND vaccine employing 8 haemagglutinating (HA) units [25].

Results on carcass characteristics revealed increase in dressing (+1.8%), front part (+1.5%), breast meat (+1.44%), carcass meat (+1.7%), gizzard weight (+0.16%) as well as decrease in abdominal fat (-0.27%), as compared to control birds (Table-3).

No effects were detected on feed supplementation with the SC on relative weights of spleen, thymus, or BF (Table-4).

Table-2: Effect of the SC on productive performance and mortality of broiler chickens.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Productive performance</th>
<th>Control group</th>
<th>SC treated group</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Body weight (g) (5 weeks old)</td>
<td>2064.1 ± 15.45&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2175.4 ± 13.74&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bodyweight gain (g) (4 to 5 week old)</td>
<td>614.7 ± 9.06&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>701.9 ± 8.16&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Feed consumption (g/bird)</td>
<td>3581.1 ± 41.37</td>
<td>3404.3 ± 46.42</td>
<td>0.499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feed conversion ratio (0-5 weeks old)</td>
<td>1.627 ± 0.009&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.563 ± 0.009&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total mortality</td>
<td>3.67 ± 0.24&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.00 ± 0.16&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production number</td>
<td>349.3 ± 7.34&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>385.7 ± 8.58&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Means with different superscripts, within row, are significantly different (P≤ 0.05).

Table-3: Effect of the SC on carcass characteristics of broiler chickens.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Carcass quality</th>
<th>Control group</th>
<th>SC treated group</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dressing %</td>
<td>69.07 ± 0.29&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>69.96 ± 0.27&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Front part %</td>
<td>39.22 ± 0.33&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>40.22 ± 0.27&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hind parts %</td>
<td>29.99 ± 0.27</td>
<td>29.75 ± 0.22</td>
<td>0.503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast meat %</td>
<td>17.40 ± 0.31&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>18.84 ± 0.45&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thigh Drumstick meat %</td>
<td>14.25 ± 0.24</td>
<td>14.52 ± 0.26</td>
<td>0.445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carcass meat %</td>
<td>31.65 ± 0.42&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>33.35 ± 0.65&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal fat %</td>
<td>1.45 ± 0.08&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.18 ± 0.06&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver %</td>
<td>2.61 ± 0.05</td>
<td>2.54 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart %</td>
<td>0.537 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.493 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gizzard %</td>
<td>1.43 ± 0.06&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.59 ± 0.06&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Giblets %</td>
<td>4.58 ± 0.08</td>
<td>4.62 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.750</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Means with different superscripts, within row, are significantly different (P≤ 0.05).
perfringens Bacteroides fragilis Escherichia coli Salmonella In vitro genic, antiparasitic, antiplatelet, insecticidal, antihepa-
tanalgesic, antispasmodic, anti-inflammatory, angio-
microbial, antitumor, antimutagenic, antigenotoxic,
activities of carvacrol have been proved such as anti-
cinnamaldehyde, strongly inhibited proven to have strong anti-bacterial activity against cinnamon and widely applied as flavoring. It has been
[14] in chickens. Cinnamaldehyde is a constituent of
inhibit growth [41] and carvacrol [38-40] since carvacrol has been shown to
microbial functions of these herbs are associated with
thyme and oregano has been determined [37]. The anti-
activities of essential oils derived from cinnamon,
[16, 35 and 36]. A wide range of anti-microbial
[34] and/or its anti-microbial activities as essential oils
effect of a mixture of carvacrol, cinnamaldehyde, and
capsaicin which has effects on the resistance to Salmonella enteritidis infection by altering pH and histological changes [44].
the present investigation, the effect of the SC on carcass quality revealed increase in percentage of dressing %, front part %, breast meat %, carcass meat %, gizzard weight % and intestinal diameter, as well as decrease in abdominal fat %, Jamroz and Kamel [45] reported that broiler chickens fed with herb extract had higher breast weight compared to control group. Contrary to our findings, another researches showed that supplementation of combination of two herb extracts had no effect on carcass characteristics [23, 46]. Alçıçek et al. [29] recorded that herb extract resulted in improvement of chicken carcass but had no effect on abdominal fat percentage.
Immunomodulators administered simultaneously with vaccines might potentiate their specific immune response. Regarding our findings, the SC can be efficacious as an oral adjuvant to enhance humoral immunity (immuno-globulin production) in response to vaccination. This means that administration of herbal oils could partially counter attacks immune dysfunction. The immune protective effect of herbal essential oils might be due to their antioxidant activity [32, 33] and/or their antimicrobial activities [37, 40].

The histological study showed that the addition of the SC increased the villus height and villus height/ crypt depth ratio in ileum compared with controls. However, the ileal crypt depth was decreased by SC supplementation compared with control (Table-6 and Plate-1). These results confirmed the suggestion reported by Bravo et al. [47], who suggested that the effect of a mixture of carvacrol, cinnamaldehyde, and Capsicum oleoresin might be mediated by a direct increase in dietary energy digestibility or absorption,

### Table-4: Effect of the SC on relative weights of lymphoid organs and intestinal morphology in broiler chickens at 5 weeks of age. | Treatment/trait | Spleen% | Thymus% | Bursa of fabricius% | Intestine length (cm) | Intestine diameter (cm) |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0.227±0.01</td>
<td>0.700±0.03</td>
<td>0.267±0.02</td>
<td>185.20±2.84</td>
<td>0.900±0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The SC</td>
<td>0.233±0.01</td>
<td>0.727±0.05</td>
<td>0.260±0.02</td>
<td>191.93±2.24</td>
<td>1.063±0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P Value</td>
<td>0.649</td>
<td>0.644</td>
<td>0.767</td>
<td>0.984</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Means with different superscripts, within column, are significantly different (P<0.05).

### Table-5: Effect of the SC on haemagglutination inhibition (HI) antibody titer against Newcastle disease vaccine in broiler chickens. | Treatment/Age | 1 Week | 2 Weeks | 3 Weeks | 4 Weeks | 5 Weeks |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>3.38±0.27</td>
<td>5.48±0.25</td>
<td>7.32±0.30</td>
<td>6.25±0.36</td>
<td>5.50±0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The SC</td>
<td>3.50±0.18</td>
<td>6.12±0.39</td>
<td>8.34±0.35</td>
<td>7.72±0.30</td>
<td>7.08±0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P Value</td>
<td>0.7352</td>
<td>0.5442</td>
<td>0.0067</td>
<td>0.0053</td>
<td>0.0092</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Means with different superscripts, within column, are significantly different (P<0.05).
by a decrease in the energy required for the maintenance of the digestive tract, or a combination of both.

From the data of Table-2, it could be concluded that the SC used in the specified dose degraded the body weight, body weight gain and FCR of the birds at the beginning of the growing period (1st week of age) but then, growth rate and feed efficiency were accelerated and therefore improved with SC. This could be hypothetically attributed to optimizing the intestinal growth and immune system during this period. Then the birds not only profit from this early gut development but also might reduce their maintenance requirements.

Aforementioned results revealed that administration of a SC to broiler chickens improved zootechnical productivity, mortality, had invaluable positive effect on carcass quality and had a potent immunomodulatory effect (potentiated immune response) that enhanced their vaccination effectiveness. These effects could be related to the improvement of intestinal tract integrity (diameter and villi length) that allows larger surface available for nutrient absorption. Eventually, the SC can be used as a replacement to controversial feed additives. These essential oils might gain much attention for their potential as alternatives to AGP.

**Conclusion**

Aforementioned results revealed that administration of a SC to broiler chickens improved zootechnical productivity, mortality, had invaluable positive effect on carcass quality and had a potent immunomodulatory effect (potentiated immune response) that enhanced their vaccination effectiveness. These effects could be related to the improvement of intestinal tract integrity (diameter and villi length) that allows larger surface available for nutrient absorption. Eventually, the SC can be used as a replacement to controversial feed additives. These essential oils might gain much attention for their potential as alternatives to AGP.
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