# Effect of modified CEP-3 diluents with aqueous soybean extract on liquid semen quality in Ongole crossbred bull

Dian Ratnawati<sup>1,2</sup>, Kuswati Kuswati<sup>3</sup>, Aulia Puspita Anugra Yekti<sup>2</sup>, Gatot Ciptadi<sup>2</sup>, Sri Rahayu<sup>4</sup>, and Trinil Susilawati<sup>2</sup>

1. Research Center for Animal Husbandry, Research Organization for Agriculture and Food, National Research and Innovation Agency of The Republic of Indonesia (BRIN), Cibinong Sciences Center, Bogor, Indonesia; 2. Department of Animal Reproduction and Breeding, Faculty of Animal Science, Universitas Brawijaya, Indonesia; 3. Department of Livestock Production, Faculty of Animal Science, Universitas Brawijaya, Malang, Indonesia; 4. Department of Biology, Faculty of Mathematic and Natural Science, Universitas Brawijaya, Malang, Indonesia.

Corresponding authors: Dian Ratnawati, e-mail: dian.sapo.2019@gmail.com , Trinil Susilawati, e-mail: tsusilawati@ub.ac.id Co-authors: KK: kuswati\_indicus@ub.ac.id, APAY: auliapay@ub.ac.id,

GC: ciptadi@ub.ac.id, SR: srahayu@ub.ac.id

Received: 04-01-2023, Accepted: 17-04-2023, Published online: 17-05-2023

**doi:** www.doi.org/10.14202/vetworld.2023.1075-1083 **How to cite this article:** Ratnawati D, Kuswati K, Yekti APA, Ciptadi G, Rahayu S, and Susilawati T (2023) Effect of modified CEP-3 diluents with aqueous soybean extract on liquid semen quality in Ongole crossbred bull, *Veterinary World*, 16(5): 1075–1083.

### Abstract

**Background and Aim:** Egg yolk (EY) is commonly used as an extracellular cryoprotectant in semen diluents but has some negative effects. Therefore, this study aimed to assess the potential of lecithin derived from plants, such as soybeans, as an alternative extracellular cryoprotectant and to characterize liquid semen quality of Ongole crossbred bulls using a modified caudal epididymis plasma-3 [CEP-3 (m)] as a base diluent and aqueous soybean extract (ASE).

**Materials and Methods:** A bull with progressive motility (PM) of fresh semen >70% was used. Two soybean extracts were also used, namely, ASE 1 and ASE 2, obtained by extraction procedures 1 and 2, respectively. The study was conducted using an experimental design with 11 treatments and ten replications, with diluents comprising different levels of ASE 1 and ASE 2, as well as a positive control with 10% EY. The parameters measured were motility (M) and its kinetic parameters, including PM, M, velocity curve linear, velocity straight linear, velocity average pathway, linearity, straightness, wobble, amplitude lateral head beat cross frequency, and hyperactivity using computer-assisted sperm analysis, viability, and spermatozoa abnormalities.

**Results:** The CEP-3(m) diluent formula and ASE 1 at a 30% level maintained the PM of spermatozoa up to day 5 (40.7%  $\pm$  16.1%) of cold storage. Meanwhile, the CEP-3(m) diluent formula and ASE 2 could only maintain PM >40% until day 3 (42.1%  $\pm$  13.5%) of cold storage at a 30% level. The CEP-3(m) diluent and ASE 1 at a level of 25%–30% supported spermatozoa life (viability) up to day 5 with a value >80% (81.8  $\pm$  3.5; 86.4  $\pm$  2.6). The abnormality value of spermatozoa in various diluents during cold storage on days 0–5 was below 20%.

**Conclusion:** Soybean extracts 1 and 2 can substitute EYs as extracellular cryoprotectants in modified CEP-3 basic diluents. Soybean extract 1 can support the life of spermatozoa up to day 5 but may cause the viscosity and movement of spermatozoa to be hyperactive. Soybean extract 2 can support the life of spermatozoa up to the 3<sup>rd</sup> day of cold storage and produces progressive (non-rotating) movement patterns. Further, research is recommended with higher levels of ASE 2.

Keywords: aqueous soybean extract, liquid semen, Ongole crossbred cattle.

### Introduction

The application of artificial insemination using liquid semen offers many advantages, including not requiring expensive infrastructure during implementation, not requiring liquid nitrogen for storage, supporting good quality spermatozoa (up to 10 days) [1], being easy and simple to process and being safer [2]. Semen diluents play an essential role in the fulfillment of physiological requirements, such as being iso-osmotic, having a metabolizable substrate

Copyright: Ratnawati, *et al.* Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/ by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

composition, with a pH close to neutral, containing intracellular and extracellular cryoprotectants, buffers, energy sources, and antibiotics (antibacterial) [3–5]. An optimal diluent composition is expected to protect spermatozoa from damage caused by cooling, such as cryodamage or cryoinjury [6–8]. Liquid semen is considered successful if the decrease in motility (M) and metabolic activity due to cooling can be reversible [9].

The function of a cryoprotectant is to protect spermatozoa from cold shock and ensure the maintenance of membrane integrity. Egg yolk (EY) is an extracellular cryoprotectant that contains lecithin and is frequently used in cryopreservation. However, concerns have arisen about its use in semen diluent due to its potential to spread zoonotic diseases and adversely affect the quality and fertility of spermatozoa. There are several negative effects of EY in diluents, including bacterial contamination (exotic diseases) [10, 11], reduction in spermatozoa fertility by bacterial endotoxin, and interference with a microscopic evaluation due to the presence of debris/vacuole, consisting of varied composition that is difficult to control, can alter the structure and integrity of spermatozoa membranes, and be antigenic in the blood circulation and reproductive tract [12-15]. An assessment is required to determine the suitability of using lecithin derived from plants, including soybeans. Soybean is a source of lecithin free from animal elements and can function as an extracellular cryoprotectant, substituting EY [7, 16–18]. Commercial soybean lecithin, such as Biochipos plus<sup>®</sup> (Tiefenbach, Germany), Bioexcell<sup>®</sup> (L'Aigle, France), and Andromed<sup>®</sup> (Tiefenbach, Germany), is readily available. Soybean lecithin can be used as a diluent for liquid and frozen semen in various animals, such as bulls, goats, buffaloes, and rams. Studies have shown that using soy lecithin in the frozen semen of buffalo can effectively support the quality of spermatozoa on the 5<sup>th</sup> day of storage [19]. Furthermore, its use in frozen semen at 1%-1.5% and 10% for buffalo produced the best results in supporting the quality of spermatozoa [20, 21]. Soy lecithin-based diluents have been shown to produce the same quality as EYs [22]. The phosphatidylcholine and several fatty acids, including linolenic, linoleic, oleic, stearic, and palmitic acids in soybean lecithin, play a role in preventing damage to the plasma membrane of spermatozoa due to the dilution, cooling, and disposal processes [14, 23-25]. The mechanism of spermatozoa protection is by forming a coating film on the membrane, thereby preventing damage [22, 23]. It also supports the structural stability of spermatozoa cells, which have a specific fat composition with a high ratio of polyunsaturated fatty acid, and protects mammalian phospholipid membranes [26, 27]. Liquid ram semen with a diluent of tris, fructose, and citric acid combined with commercial soybean extract could maintain progressive M (PM) for up to the 8<sup>th</sup> day of storage [5]. Meanwhile, the study by Immelda et al. [28] found that the use of 15% soybean juice diluent could only support the viability of the spermatozoa of Sapudi sheep for 24 h of storage, with a percentage >80%. Similar results were also observed in a study on buffalo semen conducted by Singh et al. [4], where the 25% level of soy milkbased spermatozoa diluent could only support the M of individual spermatozoa up to the 48<sup>th</sup> h with a value >40% and viability exceeding 80%, which could only be achieved at the 24<sup>th</sup> h of storage. A previous study on bovine semen by Sugiarto et al. [29] stated that the combination of CEP-2 diluent and 10% soybean extract could maintain spermatozoa M (>40%) in Limousine cattle up to 24 h of cooling. However, the viability of spermatozoa at 0 h of cold storage could not reach 80%. The combination of tris diluent and soy extract 3% and 5% could support sperm M of Limousine cattle up to the 4th day of cold storage, but viability >80% could only be achieved on the

1<sup>st</sup> day of storage [30]. This is in contrast to a study that stated that the use of tris diluent and 5% soybean extract could support spermatozoa M (>40%), viability >80%, and spermatozoa abnormalities <20% only shortly after mixing with fresh semen of Kebumen cattle [31].

Studies on the use of whole soybean extract as a 100% substitute for EYs have never been conducted. The potential use of whole soybean extract is expected to provide an alternative to the use of plantbased extracellular cryoprotectants. Therefore, this study aimed to characterize the liquid semen quality of Ongole crossbred bull in a modified CEP-3 as a based diluent and extracellular cryoprotectant using aqueous soybean extract (ASE).

# **Materials and Methods**

### Ethical approval

All experiments were approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of the Indonesian Agency for Agricultural Research and Development (Balitbangtan/Lolitsapi/Rm/01/2022).

### Study period and location

The study was conducted during January and-February 2022. The study was conducted in Pasuruan, East Java, Indonesia.

### Place and time of research

This study was conducted at the Beef Cattle ResearchInstitute(Pens and Reproduction Laboratory). An Ongole crossbred bull, aged 5–6 years, with a body weight of 400–500 kg, and fresh semen quality with PM over 70% was used. The bull used was one from which semen had been routinely collected twice a week using an Artificial Vagina, and libido optimized with false mounting.

The design of this study was a completely randomized design with 11 treatments and ten repetitions. Treatments administered include T1 = CEP-3(m) + ASE 1 10%, T2 = CEP-3(m) + ASE 1 15%, T3 = CEP-3(m) + ASE 1 20%, T4 = CEP-3(m) + ASE 1 25%, T5 = CEP-3(m) + ASE 1 30%; T6= CEP-3(m) + ASE 2 10%, T7 = CEP-3(m) + ASE 2 15%, T8= CEP-3(m) + ASE 2 20%, T9 = CEP-3(m) + ASE 2 25%, T10 = CEP-3(m) + ASE 2 30%, and T11 = CEP-3(m) + 10% EY.

### Extraction procedure 1

A total of 25 mg of soybeans were mixed with 1% NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, soaked in hot water, and then removed from the husks. The soybeans were ground with 125 mL of freshly boiled water and filtered. The dregs obtained were then ground with 125 mL of hot water, filtered, and centrifuged. The obtained filtrate was centrifuged, and the top layer was placed into a tube and filtered (modified by Immelda *et al.* [28] and Sartini *et al.* [32]).

### Extraction procedure 2

A total of 100 mg of soybean were cleaned and soaked in water. The soybean was drained and placed in warm water for 10 min. It was then drained again, ground with 200 mL of distilled water, and filtered. The obtained filtration was placed into a glass tube and centrifuged at a speed of  $1008 \times g$ . The top layer was then ready to be used (modified by Da Costa [33]).

### Preparation of CEP-3 (modified) diluents

The modified CEP-3 was composed of NaCl 0.09 mg, KCl 0.05 mg, CaCl<sub>2</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>2</sub> 0.04 mg, MgCl<sub>2</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>6</sub> 0.08 mg, NaHCO<sub>3</sub> 0.1 mg, NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>0.11 mg, KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>0.27 mg, fructose 0.27 mg, Tris 1.62 mg, citric acid 0.82 mg, penicillin 0.006 mg, streptomycin 0.01 mg, and sterile distilled water 100 mL [32]. All ingredients were mixed and homogenized with a stirrer for 30 min. The pH of the diluent was then adjusted ( $\pm$ 7) by adding HCl if the pH was too alkaline and NaOH if the pH was too acidic.

# Processing of liquid semen

The fresh semen obtained was diluted with the prepared diluents. A total of 25  $\mu$ L of fresh semen was added to 1 mL of diluent in a test tube, slowly homogenized, and covered with a plastic wrap. The test tube was then placed in a glass beaker that had been filled with water (water jacket), as modified by Indriani and Wahyuningsih [34]. It was then stored in a refrigerator at a temperature of 3°C–5°C (cold storage). The quality of liquid semen was observed every day until the 5<sup>th</sup> day.

# Spermatozoa M analysis (CASA)

A total of 3  $\mu$ L of the semen was taken using a pipette, placed on an object glass, covered with a cover glass, and placed on a stage warmer. Observations were made using a magnification of 20× and 10×, and three fields were taken for each sample [35].

# Examination of viability and abnormality of spermatozoa

A semen smear was made on an object glass by mixing one drop of semen with one drop of eosin-nigrosin. Examination of the semen smear was carried out using a 1000× magnification microscope. A total of 100 spermatozoa were evaluated, with dead spermatozoa appearing red and live spermatozoa appearing transparent [36]. The percentage of spermatozoa viability was calculated by dividing the number of live spermatozoa by the total number of spermatozoa observed (live and dead) and multiplying by 100% [37]. A similar procedure was conducted to calculate the percentage abnormality of spermatozoa. The total of abnormal spermatozoa was divided by the total number of spermatozoa observed (normal and abnormal) and multiplied by 100% [37].

# Parameters and data analysis

The parameters measured were the M of spermatozoa and their kinetic parameters, including M, PM, velocity curve linear (VCL), velocity average pathway (VAP), velocity straight linear (VSL), linearity (LIN), straightness (STR), wobble (WOB), beat cross frequency (BCF), amplitude lateral head (ALH), hyperactivity (H), spermatozoa viability, and abnormalities. Data were analyzed using analysis of variance with the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences 16.

### Results

The results of observations of the M and PM of liquid semen spermatozoa during cold storage are listed in Table-1. It was observed that PM and spermatozoa M decreased during cold storage in all diluent formulas. This decrease was faster in CEP-3(m) +ASE 2 diluent than in CEP-3(m) + ASE 1 and CEP-3(m) + EY 10%. The CEP-3(m) diluent formula and ASE 1 at the 30% level maintained the PM of spermatozoa until the 5<sup>th</sup> day ( $40.7 \pm 16.1\%$ ) of cold storage. Meanwhile, the CEP-3(m) diluent formula and ASE 2 could only maintain PM above 40% until day 3 (42.1  $\pm$  13.5%) of cold storage at the 30% level. The CEP-3(m) + ASE 1 and ASE 2 formulas with levels below 30% resulted in lower PM. The performance of the CEP-3(m) + EY 10% diluent was significantly higher than the other formulas, with PM reaching 57% on day 5. Spermatozoa M in diluent CEP-3(m) + EY 10% was significantly higher than in the other formulas. The diluent formula CEP-3(m) + ASE 1 at levels 30%and 25% resulted in M values of 63.1% and 43.6% on day 5, respectively.

Table-2 shows the velocities (VCL, VSL, and VAP) of spermatozoa in liquid semen during cold storage, and it was observed that they decreased in all diluents. On day 5, the VCL, VSL, and VAP values of spermatozoa in the CEP-3(m) + ASE 1 diluent were higher than the CEP-3(m) + ASE 2 diluents on each observation day. The high VCL on the CEP-3(m) + ASE 1 diluent indicated the speed of spermatozoa movement in the curve trajectory and the strength of spermatozoa movement. Velocity on diluent CEP-3(m) + ASE 1 (20%–30%) on day 5 was not significantly different from diluent CEP-3(m) + EY 10%. Meanwhile, VSL on diluent CEP-3(m) + ASE 2 (30%) on day 5 was not significantly different with diluent CEP-3(m) + ASE 1 (25% and 30%) and CEP-3(m) + EY10%. The VAP value in the CEP-3(m) + ASE 1 diluent (20%-30%) was not significantly different from the positive control formula.

The LIN, STR, and WOB of the spermatozoa in liquid semen during cold storage are listed in Table-3, and the values in all diluents decreased during cold storage. The LIN and STR values of spermatozoa in CEP-3(m) + ASE 2 diluents were higher than in CEP-3(m)+ ASE 1 diluents during cold storage on day 5. This showed that the swimming pattern of spermatozoa in the CEP-3(m) + ASE 2 diluent was more regular and progressive. Meanwhile, the WOB value on day 5 showed that the spermatozoa in the CEP-3(m) + ASE 1 and 2 diluents at levels above 15% were not different from the positive control.

Table-4 lists the ALH, BCF, and H of spermatozoa in liquid semen during cold storage. The ALH value of spermatozoa in the diluent CEP-3(m) + ASE 1 was higher than CEP-3(m) + ASE 2, indicating a wide deviation of the head movement of the spermatozoa, potentially leading to a star-shaped pattern. The H

**Table-1:** Progressive motility and motility of spermatozoa of liquid semen with various diluent formulas.

Progressive motility	Days of cold storage						
	0	1	2	3	4	5	
T1	72.7 ± 5.4ª	41.7 ± 21.8ª	16.2 ± 13.1ª	$8.4 \pm 10.7^{ab}$	$1.4 \pm 1.4^{a}$	1.3 ± 1.5ª	
T2	73.7 ± 5.9ª	53.9 ± 15.8 <sup>♭</sup>	$27.8 \pm 17.1^{ab}$	$28.4 \pm 17.1^{cd}$	$14.1 \pm 11.0^{bc}$	$6.2 \pm 5.2^{ab}$	
Т3	75.1 ± 4.1ª	$67.1 \pm 3.6^{cd}$	$59.8 \pm 9.0^{\text{ef}}$	$40.3 \pm 17.3^{f}$	$21 \pm 14.7^{cd}$	12.2 ± 6.7⁵	
Τ4	$78.2 \pm 6.0^{ab}$	73.7 ± 3.7 <sup>de</sup>	67.2 ± 8.4 <sup>fg</sup>	$57.3 \pm 7.8^{f}$	39.6 ± 11.8°	26.9 ± 15.0°	
Т5	74.3 ± 4.2ª	$73.3 \pm 12.0^{de}$	$69.4 \pm 5.4^{fg}$	$62.5 \pm 7.7^{fg}$	$56.1 \pm 8.6^{f}$	$40.7 \pm 16.1^{d}$	
Т6	$82.1 \pm 5.6^{bc}$	41 ± 21.1ª	16.2 ± 14.1ª	3.2 ± 3.1ª	0.5 ± 0.7ª	0.2 ± 0.5ª	
Τ7	86.1 ± 5.8°	$59.9 \pm 17.1^{bc}$	$34.7 \pm 17.2^{bc}$	$12 \pm 10.1^{ab}$	3.3 ± 2.8 <sup>ab</sup>	0.5 ± 0.8ª	
Т8	84.9 ± 5.6°	$68.7 \pm 8.8^{cd}$	$45.3 \pm 10.0^{cd}$	$19.9 \pm 9.2^{bc}$	5.1 ± 4.2 <sup>ab</sup>	$2.9 \pm 1.5^{ab}$	
Т9	83.7 ± 7.3 <sup>bc</sup>	$73.7 \pm 7.8^{de}$	$49.9 \pm 17.7^{de}$	$37.2 \pm 17.6^{de}$	$18.6 \pm 15.2^{cd}$	$6.2 \pm 5.1^{ab}$	
T10	86.5 ± 8.3°	$72.6 \pm 8.6^{de}$	$61.6 \pm 8.5^{\text{ef}}$	42.1 ± 13.5°	$25.9 \pm 16.0^{d}$	11.5 ± 7.9⁵	
T11	$83.5 \pm 3.2^{bc}$	84.1 ± 5.8°	$79 \pm 6.3^{9}$	$72.5 \pm 3.6^{f}$	$70.1 \pm 7.1^{9}$	57 ± 9.3°	
Motility							
T1	89.5 ± 5.1ª	60.7 ± 23.3ª	32.5 ± 20.4ª	$17.3 \pm 13.8^{ab}$	5.9 ± 4.2ª	5.3 ± 3.7ª	
T2	$92.8 \pm 5.0^{ab}$	$72.3 \pm 16.2^{ab}$	$48.4 \pm 22.5^{bc}$	$46.8 \pm 18.1^{de}$	$28 \pm 19.8^{bc}$	16.7 ± 11.4ªb	
Т3	$93.4 \pm 4.0^{bc}$	$88.7 \pm 6.2^{cd}$	$81.6 \pm 8.9^{def}$	$61.9 \pm 16.6^{9}$	37 ± 18.1°	21.5 ± 12.0 <sup>b</sup>	
Τ4	$94.8 \pm 2.5^{bc}$	$93.9 \pm 3.4^{d}$	$87.4 \pm 6.2^{\text{ef}}$	$81.6 \pm 6.3^{9}$	$63.3 \pm 13.0^{d}$	43.6 ± 19.3°	
Т5	93.5 ± 2.3 <sup>bc</sup>	$90.4 \pm 9.2^{cd}$	$90.7 \pm 5.9^{\text{ef}}$	84.5 ± 5.2 <sup>9</sup>	81.1 ± 8.5 <sup>e</sup>	$63.1 \pm 19.8^{d}$	
Т6	$95.2 \pm 3.6^{bc}$	60.3 ± 24.8ª	$35.5 \pm 24.1^{ab}$	$11 \pm 6.7^{\circ}$	4.4 ± 2.5ª	4.2 ± 6.1ª	
Т7	$95.1 \pm 4.1^{bc}$	$77.6 \pm 15.6^{bc}$	52.5 ± 22.0°	$27 \pm 18.1^{bc}$	11.3 ± 8.3ª	4.2 ± 3.7ª	
Т8	$94.3 \pm 3.5^{bc}$	$85.8 \pm 9.9^{cd}$	$68 \pm 11.7^{d}$	$37.6 \pm 13.0^{cd}$	$15.2 \pm 7.1^{ab}$	$9.7 \pm 4.5^{ab}$	
Т9	$96.3 \pm 2.8^{bc}$	$90 \pm 5.3^{cd}$	$76.4 \pm 15.3^{de}$	55.1 ± 24.9 <sup>ef</sup>	37.2 ± 27.3°	17.6 ± 14.2ªb	
T10	97 ± 2.2°	$89.1 \pm 5.4^{cd}$	$81 \pm 14.1^{def}$	$64.3 \pm 14.1^{f}$	43.9 ± 23.2°	$20 \pm 14.4^{b}$	
T11	$95.2 \pm 3.8^{bc}$	$95.6 \pm 3.4^{d}$	$95.6 \pm 2.8^{f}$	$92.9 \pm 4.0^{g}$	$91.5 \pm 8.1^{e}$	$83 \pm 10.0^{\circ}$	

Different letter notations in the same column indicate a significant difference (p < 0.05)

VCL	Days of cold storage						
	0	1	2	3	4	5	
T1	$74.6 \pm 6.7^{abc}$	62.6 ± 15.3 <sup>b</sup>	$42.5 \pm 14.0^{ab}$	$40.4 \pm 18.1^{bc}$	24.1 ± 7.3 <sup>ab</sup>	22.4 ± 9.6 <sup>b</sup>	
T2	$76.3 \pm 6.5^{\text{abcd}}$	$70.6 \pm 9.6^{bc}$	$58 \pm 10.9^{cd}$	$53.8 \pm 12.6^{de}$	$44.5 \pm 8.7^{d}$	$33.6 \pm 11.4^{cd}$	
Т3	$81.7 \pm 10.0^{cd}$	$76.7 \pm 7.9^{cd}$	$68.2 \pm 6.8^{def}$	59.5 ± 12.7 <sup>e</sup>	$50 \pm 16.5^{de}$	$51 \pm 9.7^{ef}$	
T4	$82.5 \pm 9.9^{d}$	$79.9 \pm 7.3^{d}$	$75.3 \pm 9.4^{f}$	$70.5 \pm 9.2^{f}$	$60.2 \pm 11.9^{\text{ef}}$	$55.3 \pm 12.0^{f}$	
Т5	$76.3 \pm 7.7^{abcd}$	$83 \pm 8.4^{d}$	$69.7 \pm 17.9^{\text{ef}}$	$72.6 \pm 10.1^{f}$	$66 \pm 10.6^{f}$	$58.6 \pm 12.4^{f}$	
T6	$74.1 \pm 1.8^{ab}$	52.4 ± 6.4ª	33.6 ± 15.1ª	24.5 ± 14.7ª	19.3 ± 11.9ª	8.6 ± 6.6ª	
T7	$76 \pm 6.1^{abcd}$	63.3 ± 8.1 <sup>b</sup>	$49.4 \pm 7.1^{bc}$	36.7 ± 14.1 <sup>₅</sup>	25.7 ± 9.7 <sup>ab</sup>	19.1 ± 12.1⁵	
Т8	$76.1 \pm 5.5^{\text{abcd}}$	65.7 ± 3.8 <sup>b</sup>	53.6 ± 5.7°	$42.9 \pm 9.0^{bcd}$	$31.6 \pm 10.4^{bc}$	28.7 ± 8.1 <sup>b</sup>	
Т9	72.5 ± 6.3ª	67.4 ± 6.6⁵	54.4 ± 9.9°	$54.4 \pm 6.0^{de}$	$40.3 \pm 13.1^{cd}$	$33.1 \pm 8.8^{cd}$	
T10	72.4 ± 6.5ª	67.9 ± 6.9 <sup>b</sup>	$60.2 \pm 5.8^{cde}$	$51 \pm 7.0^{cde}$	43.9 ± 11.3 <sup>d</sup>	$42.3 \pm 10.7^{de}$	
T11	$80.9 \pm 4.2^{bcd}$	90.9 ± 8.1°	$77.8 \pm 10.4^{f}$	$72.5 \pm 9.0^{f}$	$67 \pm 7.3^{f}$	$57.6 \pm 5.0^{f}$	
VSL							
T1	$37.9 \pm 7.1^{abc}$	$26.3 \pm 6.4^{ab}$	$17.9 \pm 8.2^{ab}$	$13.6 \pm 7.7^{ab}$	$8.9 \pm 4.3^{ab}$	$6.6 \pm 3.8^{ab}$	
T2	35.2 ± 6.5 <sup>ab</sup>	$27.9 \pm 3.7^{abc}$	$22.6 \pm 5.5^{bc}$	$19.8 \pm 4.5^{cd}$	$14.8 \pm 3.6^{cde}$	$11.7 \pm 5.1^{bcd}$	
Т3	$34.2 \pm 5.0^{ab}$	$28.6 \pm 7.3^{\text{abc}}$	$25.9 \pm 3.3^{cd}$	$20.3 \pm 2.6^{cd}$	$14.7 \pm 4.2^{cde}$	$14.6 \pm 2.7^{cd}$	
T4	$34.1 \pm 5.2^{ab}$	$27.6 \pm 4.9^{\text{abc}}$	24.9 ± 2.6°	$21.1 \pm 2.8^{cd}$	$18 \pm 1.5^{def}$	$16.1 \pm 2.3^{cde}$	
Т5	31.5 ± 4.1ª	$27.1 \pm 3.9^{abc}$	$22.5 \pm 1.8^{bc}$	$21 \pm 2.1^{cd}$	$18.9 \pm 1.7^{\text{ef}}$	$16.9 \pm 2.8^{de}$	
T6	$41.6 \pm 5.6^{bcd}$	25.6 ± 5.6ª	15.1 ± 7.8ª	9.8 ± 7.2ª	6 ± 5.4ª	3.4 ± 3.0ª	
T7	$47.6 \pm 7.4^{d}$	$32.2 \pm 6.3^{cd}$	24 ± 5.7°	$17.3 \pm 9.6^{bc}$	$10 \pm 4.9^{abc}$	$6.6 \pm 7.1^{ab}$	
Т8	$47.6 \pm 9.6^{d}$	$31.5 \pm 5.1^{bcd}$	$27.4 \pm 4.7^{cd}$	$20.6 \pm 6.9^{cd}$	$13.2 \pm 6.3^{bcd}$	$11.2 \pm 5.0^{bc}$	
Т9	$41.9 \pm 9.4^{bcd}$	$34.9 \pm 6.4^{d}$	$26.4 \pm 5.8^{cd}$	27.8 ± 3.4 <sup>e</sup>	$19.6 \pm 7.4^{\text{ef}}$	$14.1 \pm 7.0^{cd}$	
T10	$43.3 \pm 10.9^{cd}$	$32.5 \pm 5.7^{cd}$	$30.9 \pm 5.6^{d}$	$25.7 \pm 5.5^{de}$	$20.7 \pm 6.1^{fg}$	21.2 ± 7.2 <sup>e</sup>	
T11	$41.9 \pm 11.3^{bcd}$	25.5 ± 2.4ª	$26.2 \pm 4.1^{cd}$	$24.5 \pm 2.5^{de}$	$25 \pm 3.0^{9}$	21 ± 2.5°	
VAP							
T1	51.8 ± 5.8ª	$40.1 \pm 8.3^{ab}$	28.8 ± 12.3 <sup>b</sup>	23.4 ± 12.3 <sup>₅</sup>	$14 \pm 7.1^{ab}$	$11.7 \pm 7.0^{abc}$	
T2	52.6 ± 5.1ª	$47 \pm 6.0^{cd}$	$38.2 \pm 8.5^{cde}$	$35.3 \pm 9.1^{cde}$	$28.6 \pm 7.2^{d}$	$20.4 \pm 8.0^{d}$	
Т3	$53.9 \pm 6.9^{ab}$	$48.6 \pm 7.7^{cd}$	$45.2 \pm 5.4^{\text{ef}}$	$37.5 \pm 7.3^{de}$	29.7 ± 10.5 <sup>d</sup>	$32.4 \pm 8.9^{\text{ef}}$	
T4	$54.4 \pm 7.7^{ab}$	$48 \pm 6.5^{cd}$	$46.6 \pm 6.0^{f}$	42.1 ± 3.8 <sup>e</sup>	37.1 ± 5.4 <sup>e</sup>	$33.3 \pm 6.8^{\text{ef}}$	
T5	49 ± 3.5°	$48.3 \pm 4.6^{cd}$	$43.2 \pm 5.4^{def}$	42.7 ± 4.6 <sup>e</sup>	39.1 ± 4.7°	$34.5 \pm 6.3^{\text{ef}}$	
T6	$55.8 \pm 4.6^{ab}$	35.5 ± 6.4ª	21.5 ± 10.5 <sup>a</sup>	$14.7 \pm 10.1^{\circ}$	$10.5 \pm 6.1^{\circ}$	4.9 ± 3.8ª	
T7	60.5 ± 7.0 <sup>b</sup>	$42.5 \pm 6.9^{bc}$	$34 \pm 6.0^{bc}$	23.4 ± 11.4 <sup>b</sup>	$14.1 \pm 6.1^{ab}$	$11.2 \pm 10.1^{ab}$	
Т8	60.4 ± 9.3 <sup>♭</sup>	$42.2 \pm 5.1^{bc}$	$36.2 \pm 4.9^{cd}$	$27.8 \pm 8.7^{bc}$	$18.5 \pm 8.1^{bc}$	$15.7 \pm 6.3^{bcd}$	
Т9	$55.1 \pm 8.2^{ab}$	$45.2 \pm 7.0^{bcd}$	$35.2 \pm 7.1^{bc}$	$36.6 \pm 4.6^{de}$	25.3 ± 9.3 <sup>cd</sup>	$19.2 \pm 6.7^{cd}$	
T10	$56.1 \pm 10.4^{ab}$	$43.9 \pm 4.5^{bc}$	$40 \pm 5.6^{cdef}$	$33 \pm 5.8^{cd}$	$27.7 \pm 7.9^{d}$	27.7 ± 8.4 <sup>e</sup>	
T11	$61.1 \pm 8.6^{\circ}$	$50.7 \pm 4.0^{d}$	$47 \pm 3.3^{f}$	$42.9 \pm 4.2^{\circ}$	41.9 ± 5.4°	$38 \pm 4.0^{f}$	

Different letter notations in the same column indicate a significant difference (p < 0.05). VCL=Velocity curve linear, VSL=Velocity straight linear, VAP=Velocity average pathway

Available at www.veterinaryworld	d.org/Vol.1	6/May	/-2023/	21.pdf
----------------------------------	-------------	-------	---------	--------

LIN	Days of cold storage						
	0	1	2	3	4	5	
T1	$51.8 \pm 10.3^{bc}$	43.1 ± 9.9 <sup>cd</sup>	$40.4 \pm 7.5^{cd}$	$33.8 \pm 14.4^{ab}$	$36.4 \pm 11.2^{bc}$	$29.5 \pm 10.0^{\circ}$	
T2	$46.3 \pm 9.4^{ab}$	$40.2 \pm 7.5^{bc}$	$39.1 \pm 6.6^{bcd}$	$37.1 \pm 2.9^{\text{abc}}$	$32.9 \pm 3.1^{abc}$	33.6 ± 6.2ª	
Т3	$42.3 \pm 6.6^{\circ}$	$37.3 \pm 7.6^{bc}$	$38.3 \pm 6.0^{bcd}$	$35.1 \pm 6.1^{ab}$	29.9 ± 3.7 <sup>ab</sup>	29.1 ± 5.5ª	
T4	41.5 ± 5.8ª	$34.6 \pm 6.1^{ab}$	33.6 ± 4.9 <sup>ab</sup>	30.5 ± 6.4ª	$30.9 \pm 5.6^{ab}$	29.9 ± 5.7ª	
T5	$41.7 \pm 7.4^{a}$	$33.1 \pm 6.6^{ab}$	30.4 ± 3.6ª	29.4 ± 4.9ª	29.3 ± 5.2 <sup>ab</sup>	29.3 ± 3.9ª	
Т6	$56.1 \pm 7.3^{cd}$	$48.6 \pm 6.5^{de}$	$42.7 \pm 9.6^{de}$	$39.1 \pm 5.4^{bc}$	27.2 ± 8.7ª	37.5 ± 31.7 <sup>ab</sup>	
T7	62.7 ± 7.9 <sup>d</sup>	50.9 ± 8.0°	$48 \pm 6.7^{ef}$	$43.4 \pm 12.6^{cd}$	$35.1 \pm 12.1^{abc}$	27.9 ± 15.2ª	
Т8	$62.6 \pm 11.2^{d}$	$48 \pm 7.4^{de}$	$51.2 \pm 7.2^{f}$	$47.2 \pm 7.4^{de}$	$40.3 \pm 9.0^{cd}$	37.5 ± 14.0 <sup>ab</sup>	
Т9	$57.5 \pm 10.1^{cd}$	51.6 ± 6.8°	$48.3 \pm 4.4^{\text{ef}}$	51.3 ± 4.9°	$46.4 \pm 11.4^{d}$	$40.3 \pm 10.6^{ab}$	
T10	$59.3 \pm 11.0^{cd}$	$48.2 \pm 8.5^{de}$	$51.1 \pm 6.0^{f}$	$49.9 \pm 4.5^{de}$	$46 \pm 8.8^{d}$	49.7 ± 7.9⁵	
T11	$51.6 \pm 12.8^{bc}$	28.3 ± 4.0ª	$34.6 \pm 7.7^{\text{abc}}$	$34.2 \pm 5.5^{ab}$	$37.6 \pm 5.1^{bc}$	$36.8 \pm 5.7^{ab}$	
STR							
T1	$72.7 \pm 6.9^{bcd}$	65.8 ± 8.3°	61.4 ± 5.0°	57.8 ± 16.5ª	$64.5 \pm 10.7^{cd}$	$57.3 \pm 10.0^{ab}$	
T2	$66.4 \pm 6.9^{ab}$	59.7 ± 7.4 <sup>b</sup>	$59.1 \pm 5.9^{bc}$	56.8 ± 4.9ª	$51.7 \pm 5.0^{ab}$	$56.4 \pm 8.1^{ab}$	
Т3	63.8 ± 7.0ª	$58.4 \pm 7.1^{b}$	$57.6 \pm 6.1^{\text{abc}}$	55.3 ± 8.4ª	$51.2 \pm 7.0^{ab}$	47.4 ± 12.5ª	
T4	62.8 ± 6.2ª	57.4 ± 6.2 <sup>₅</sup>	$54 \pm 6.2^{ab}$	50.1 ± 5.7ª	49.3 ± 6.4ª	49.4 ± 9.1ª	
T5	$64.2 \pm 6.0^{\circ}$	56.4 ± 7.8 <sup>ab</sup>	52.5 ± 5.7ª	49.6 ± 6.2ª	48.7 ± 5.9ª	$49.4 \pm 6.1^{\circ}$	
Т6	$74.3 \pm 4.6^{cd}$	$71.7 \pm 4.3^{d}$	$68.1 \pm 8.3^{d}$	$66.6 \pm 4.7^{b}$	$53.3 \pm 22.1^{ab}$	$58.5 \pm 34.4^{ab}$	
T7	$78.5 \pm 4.9^{d}$	$75.3 \pm 5.4^{d}$	$70 \pm 8.5^{de}$	$69.2 \pm 13.8^{bc}$	$65.2 \pm 15.8^{cde}$	51 ± 16.4ª	
Т8	$78.4 \pm 7.2^{d}$	$74.5 \pm 5.4^{d}$	$75.6 \pm 6.1^{\text{ef}}$	$73.7 \pm 3.8^{bcd}$	$70.4 \pm 7.4^{de}$	$69.3 \pm 8.6^{bc}$	
Т9	$75.3 \pm 6.5^{d}$	$76.9 \pm 5.0^{d}$	$74.5 \pm 3.5^{\text{ef}}$	$76.1 \pm 3.7^{cd}$	75.2 ± 8.9 <sup>e</sup>	$69.7 \pm 12.8^{bc}$	
T10	$76.4 \pm 6.2^{d}$	$73.9 \pm 8.7^{d}$	$77 \pm 3.4^{f}$	$77.4 \pm 4.5^{d}$	$73.5 \pm 7.5^{de}$	76.2 ± 7.2°	
T11	$67.9 \pm 10.0^{abc}$	50.4 ± 2.7ª	$56.1 \pm 9.4^{\text{abc}}$	57.1 ± 5.0ª	$59.9 \pm 4.4^{bc}$	$55.3 \pm 5.0^{ab}$	
WOB							
T1	$70.7 \pm 8.4^{\text{abc}}$	$64.9 \pm 8.2^{bc}$	$65.6 \pm 8.9^{bcd}$	56.5 ± 10.4ª	$56.2 \pm 14.1^{ab}$	50.7 ± 11.3ª	
T2	$69.2 \pm 7.2^{ab}$	67 ± 6.3°	$65.8 \pm 6.6^{bcd}$	$65.5 \pm 5.2^{bc}$	64.1 ± 7.5 <sup>♭</sup>	$59.8 \pm 7.3^{ab}$	
Т3	66.1 ± 4.9ª	$63.4 \pm 7.2^{bc}$	$66.4 \pm 6.1^{bcd}$	$63.4 \pm 4.0^{\text{abc}}$	$58.8 \pm 4.6^{ab}$	$62.7 \pm 6.4^{ab}$	
T4	66 ± 4.5ª	$60.1 \pm 5.7^{ab}$	$62.1 \pm 5.6^{abc}$	$60.3 \pm 6.9^{ab}$	62.4 ± 5.8 <sup>b</sup>	$60.6 \pm 4.9^{ab}$	
T5	64.6 ± 6.8ª	$58.4 \pm 4.1^{ab}$	58 ± 4.8ª	$59.2 \pm 4.5^{ab}$	$59.8 \pm 4.9^{ab}$	$59.2 \pm 3.2^{ab}$	
Т6	$75.3 \pm 5.6^{bcd}$	67.6 ± 6.0°	$62.1 \pm 8.3^{\text{abc}}$	$58.6 \pm 5.8^{ab}$	$56.3 \pm 18.0^{ab}$	50.6 ± 33.8ª	
T7	$79.6 \pm 5.6^{d}$	67.3 ± 6.8°	$68.4 \pm 2.9^{d}$	$61.5 \pm 8.8^{\text{abc}}$	52 ± 10.5ª	52.3 ± 14.0 <sup>ab</sup>	
Т8	$79.2 \pm 9.5^{d}$	$64.3 \pm 6.7^{bc}$	$67.5 \pm 4.9^{cd}$	$63.7 \pm 7.7^{bc}$	56.7 ± 8.2 <sup>ab</sup>	52.5 ± 15.6 <sup>ab</sup>	
Т9	$75.9 \pm 7.8^{bcd}$	66.9 ± 6.3°	$64.7 \pm 3.6^{bcd}$	67.4 ± 6.6°	$60.7 \pm 10.5^{ab}$	57.2 ± 6.3 <sup>ab</sup>	
T10	$77.1 \pm 9.0^{cd}$	$64.9 \pm 5.4^{bc}$	$66.3 \pm 5.3^{bcd}$	$64.5 \pm 3.6^{bc}$	$62.1 \pm 7.6^{ab}$	65.1 ± 6.6 <sup>b</sup>	
T11	$75.3 \pm 8.3^{bcd}$	56.1 ± 6.2ª	$60.9 \pm 4.6^{ab}$	$59.5 \pm 5.1^{ab}$	62.7 ± 7.0 <sup>b</sup>	66.3 ± 7.9 <sup>b</sup>	

Table-3: Linearity, STR, and WOB of spermatozoa	a liquid semen with various diluent formulas
---	--

Different letter notations in the same column indicate a significant difference (p < 0.05). LIN=Linearity, STR=Straightness, WOB=Wobble

value decreased during cold storage, and the value on days 1–5 in diluent CEP-3(m) + ASE 1 at level 30% was higher than spermatozoa in diluent CEP-3(m) + EY 10%. Meanwhile, the BCF value in the CEP-3(m) + ASE 2 diluent was the same as the positive control diluent and higher than the diluent with ASE 1.

Based on Table-5, the diluents CEP-3(m) + EY 10% and CEP-3(m) + ASE 1 (25%–30%) can support the life of spermatozoa (viability) up to day 5 with a value exceeding 80% ( $81.8 \pm 3.5\%$ ;  $86.4 \pm 2.6\%$ ). The viability of spermatozoa in the diluent with ASE 1 was better than in the diluent with ASE 2. The abnormality value of spermatozoa in various diluents (CEP-3[m] and ASE 1 and 2) during cold storage on days 0–5 was below 20%.

Based on Figures-1a and c, it is evident that the trajectory pattern of spermatozoa in CEP-3(m) diluent and ASE 1 was almost identical to that of CEP-3(m) diluent and 10% EY. Most track patterns of spermatozoa were circular and non-progressive. However, the immotile spermatozoa in the diluent exhibited a lower percentage than the spermatozoa in the CEP-3(m) diluent and ASE 2. Meanwhile, the spermatozoa

in the diluent CEP-3(m) and ASE 2 mostly showed a more progressive spermatozoa trajectory pattern, but some spermatozoa with a circular trajectory were also observed. The percentage of immotile spermatozoa in this diluent was higher than that of the CEP-3(m) diluent and ASE 1. Immotile spermatozoa were detected in yellow color, but this did not necessarily indicate dead spermatozoa.

### Discussion

Motility is an important parameter indicating the potential fertilization of spermatozoa, membrane integrity, and viability. Spermatozoa M analysis using CASA resulted in a more accurate assessment [38]. Based on the result, spermatozoa in CEP-3(m) diluent and ASE 1 showed PM >40% until day 5, while in CEP-3(m) diluent and ASE 2, it only lasted until the 3<sup>rd</sup> day. This suggests that ASE 1 provides better protection against cold shock. There is a gradual decrease in M during cold storage due to the depletion of energy sources for spermatozoa metabolism and the effect of lipid peroxidation (reactive oxygen species), which damages the spermatozoa membranes [39].

Available at www.veterinaryworld.org/Vol.16/May-2023/21.pdf

ALH	Days of cold storage						
	0	1	2	3	4	5	
T1	$2.8 \pm 0.4^{bc}$	$2.6 \pm 0.4^{abc}$	$2.1 \pm 0.7^{ab}$	1.9 ± 0.7 <sup>b</sup>	0.5 ± 0.3ª	$0.9 \pm 1.0^{\circ}$	
T2	$3 \pm 0.4^{cd}$	2.8 ± 0.4°	$2.5 \pm 0.3^{cde}$	$2.4 \pm 0.3^{bcd}$	$2.1 \pm 0.7^{bc}$	$1.7 \pm 0.9^{b}$	
Т3	$3.3 \pm 0.3^{d}$	$3 \pm 0.2^{d}$	$2.8 \pm 0.4^{def}$	$2.6 \pm 0.1^{cde}$	$2.4 \pm 0.5^{bc}$	$1.8 \pm 1.0^{b}$	
T4	$3.3 \pm 0.3^{d}$	$3.3 \pm 0.4^{ef}$	$3.1 \pm 0.4^{f}g$	$2.8 \pm 0.4^{de}$	2.7 ± 0.6°	$2.4 \pm 0.4^{bc}$	
T5	$3.2 \pm 0.4^{d}$	$3.4 \pm 0.3^{f}$	$3.3 \pm 0.3g$	3 ± 0.4°	2.7 ± 0.4°	2.6 ± 0.5℃	
T6	$2.5 \pm 0.3^{ab}$	2.4 ± 0.3ª	$2 \pm 0.7^{a}$	$1.3 \pm 0.9^{\circ}$	0.7 ± 0.7ª	$0.2 \pm 0.6^{\circ}$	
T7	2.3 ± 0.3ª	$2.5 \pm 0.2^{ab}$	$2.1 \pm 0.3^{ab}$	$2.1 \pm 0.8^{bc}$	$1.8 \pm 1.0^{b}$	$0.4 \pm 0.6^{a}$	
Т8	2.3 ± 0.5 <sup>a</sup>	$2.6 \pm 0.2^{abc}$	$2.3 \pm 0.2^{abc}$	$2.2 \pm 0.2^{bc}$	$1.8 \pm 0.6^{b}$	$1.7 \pm 0.7^{b}$	
Т9	$2.4 \pm 0.4^{\circ}$	$2.6 \pm 0.2^{abc}$	$2.4 \pm 0.2^{bcde}$	$2.3 \pm 0.4^{bc}$	$2.1 \pm 0.9^{bc}$	$2.2 \pm 0.7^{bc}$	
T10	2.3 ± 0.4ª	$2.7 \pm 0.2^{bc}$	$2.4 \pm 0.3^{bcd}$	$2.3 \pm 0.2^{bc}$	$2.1 \pm 0.5^{bc}$	$2 \pm 0.5^{bc}$	
T11	$2.4 \pm 0.4^{\circ}$	$3.2 \pm 0.2^{de}$	$2.8 \pm 0.2^{ef}$	$2.8 \pm 0.2^{de}$	2.7 ± 0.4°	$2.2 \pm 0.3^{bc}$	
BCF							
T1	$9.6 \pm 1.1^{b}$	$10.9 \pm 1.5^{bcde}$	$8.3 \pm 2.6^{ab}$	$8.9 \pm 4.4^{b}$	3.3 ± 2.0ª	2.7 ± 3.2ª	
T2	$8.3 \pm 1.5^{ab}$	$10.5 \pm 1.2^{bcd}$	$9.5 \pm 1.5^{abc}$	$9.5 \pm 1.2^{bc}$	$7.8 \pm 2.3^{bc}$	6.8 ± 3.6 <sup>b</sup>	
Т3	$8.4 \pm 1.5^{ab}$	$9.9 \pm 1.4^{ab}$	$9.6 \pm 2.1^{abc}$	$9.6 \pm 1.2^{bcd}$	$8.3 \pm 1.0^{bcd}$	7.2 ± 3.9⁵	
T4	$8.1 \pm 1.4^{ab}$	9 ± 1.5ª	$9.2 \pm 1.6^{ab}$	$8.6 \pm 1.0^{b}$	$8.8 \pm 1.6^{bcd}$	$8.4 \pm 1.3^{bc}$	
T5	$7.8 \pm 1.7^{\circ}$	$9.1 \pm 1.1^{\circ}$	$8 \pm 1.3^{a}$	$8.5 \pm 1.2^{b}$	$8.5 \pm 0.7^{bcd}$	$8.5 \pm 1.3^{bc}$	
T6	$9.1 \pm 1.5^{ab}$	$10.9 \pm 0.8^{bcde}$	$9.2 \pm 3.0^{ab}$	6.3 ± 4.3ª	1.9 ± 2.4ª	1.2 ± 3.0ª	
T7	$9.2 \pm 1.8^{ab}$	$12 \pm 1.2^{\circ}$	$11.2 \pm 1.5^{cde}$	$9.1 \pm 3.9^{b}$	7.4 ± 3.5 <sup>₅</sup>	2.5 ± 3.0ª	
T8	$9.5 \pm 2.0^{ab}$	$11.7 \pm 1.3^{de}$	$11.5 \pm 1.4^{de}$	$11.5 \pm 1.1^{cde}$	9 ± 3.7 <sup>bcd</sup>	7.9 ± 3.9⁵	
Т9	$8.7 \pm 2.0^{bc}$	$11.6 \pm 1.6^{de}$	$11.4 \pm 1.1^{de}$	$12.2 \pm 0.8^{\circ}$	$10.5 \pm 4.0^{cd}$	$8.9 \pm 3.1^{bc}$	
T10	$8.8 \pm 1.7^{ab}$	$11.4 \pm 1.6^{cde}$	12.2 ± 1.3 <sup>e</sup>	$11.9 \pm 0.8^{de}$	$11.1 \pm 2.6^{d}$	11.5 ± 3.4°	
T11	$7.8 \pm 1.6^{\circ}$	$10 \pm 1.0^{abc}$	$9.8 \pm 1.2^{bcd}$	$10 \pm 0.9^{bcde}$	$9.8 \pm 0.6^{bcd}$	$9.5 \pm 1.0^{bc}$	
Н							
T1	$23.5 \pm 6.6^{cd}$	$9.7 \pm 6.2^{ab}$	$2.7 \pm 1.8^{ab}$	$1.5 \pm 1.6^{\circ}$	$0.1 \pm 0.2^{a}$	$1.1 \pm 1.4^{a}$	
T2	$21.1 \pm 3.9^{bc}$	$9.8 \pm 3.1^{ab}$	$6.9 \pm 3.6^{abc}$	$2.9 \pm 2.6^{\circ}$	$1.7 \pm 2.0^{\circ}$	1.3 ± 0.9ª	
Т3	$27 \pm 9.0^{cd}$	$17.2 \pm 5.9^{de}$	$11 \pm 4.3^{cde}$	6.7 ± 2.6 <sup>b</sup>	4.5 ± 3.1°	0.9 ± 0.7ª	
T4	$26.8 \pm 10.4^{cd}$	$19.6 \pm 5.5^{de}$	$15.8 \pm 6.8^{ef}$	11.6 ± 3.4°	9.7 ± 7.2 <sup>♭</sup>	5.7 ± 3.0 <sup>♭</sup>	
T5	$28.4 \pm 4.7^{d}$	20.8 ± 5.7°	$18.3 \pm 8.7^{f}$	$14.9 \pm 5.6^{d}$	$13.8 \pm 8.1^{\circ}$	$10.6 \pm 8.0^{\circ}$	
T6	15.7 ± 3.2 <sup>ab</sup>	$6.1 \pm 3.9^{\circ}$	1.9 ± 2.2ª	$0.4 \pm 0.6^{a}$	$0.1 \pm 0.2^{a}$	0.2 ± 0.5ª	
T7	$15.3 \pm 5.9^{ab}$	$11.6 \pm 5.1^{bc}$	$3.3 \pm 2.4^{abc}$	$2.2 \pm 2.0^{a}$	0.4 ± 0.5ª	$0.1 \pm 0.1^{a}$	
T8	14 ± 5.5ª	$15 \pm 1.8^{cd}$	$7.1 \pm 2.9^{bc}$	$1.7 \pm 1.1^{\circ}$	$0.5 \pm 0.6^{\circ}$	$0.6 \pm 1.0^{a}$	
Т9	$14.6 \pm 5.0^{\circ}$	$17.7 \pm 5.6^{de}$	$10.3 \pm 5.9^{cd}$	$6.3 \pm 5.0^{b}$	2.4 ± 2.2ª	$1.1 \pm 1.6^{a}$	
T10	$14.8 \pm 4.8^{\circ}$	$17.3 \pm 4.8^{de}$	$12.7 \pm 7.1^{de}$	7.3 ± 3.9 <sup>♭</sup>	3.4 ± 3.2ª	$1.3 \pm 1.6^{a}$	
T11	$12.8 \pm 4.6^{\circ}$	$11.7 \pm 2.5^{bc}$	$12 \pm 2.4^{cde}$	$10.9 \pm 1.4^{\circ}$	9.5 ± 2.4 <sup>♭</sup>	5.3 ± 2.9 <sup>♭</sup>	

**Table-4:** Amplitude lateral head, BCF, and H of spermatozoa liquid semen with various diluent formulas.

Different letter notations in the same column indicate a significant difference (p < 0.05). ALH=Amplitude lateral head, BCF=Beat cross frequency, H=Hyperactivity

Table-5: Viability and abnormality of spermatozoa liquid semen with various diluent formulas.

Viability	Days of cold storage						
	0	1	2	3	4	5	
T1	85.4 ± 5.2 <sup>b</sup>	$75 \pm 11.6^{ab}$	$66 \pm 9.2^{ab}$	65.4 ± 20.5 <sup>abc</sup>	49.6 ± 19.1ª	33.8 ± 17.3ª	
Т2	$85.8 \pm 6.1^{\circ}$	$84.4 \pm 10.4^{b}$	$81 \pm 10.6^{cd}$	$70 \pm 14.6^{abc}$	66 ± 11.6ª	$54.6 \pm 9.1^{bc}$	
Т3	$90 \pm 4.1^{b}$	86 ± 5.5 <sup>₅</sup>	$86.4 \pm 4.2^{cd}$	84 ± 4.3°	$76.8 \pm 5.5^{bc}$	$71 \pm 10.0^{cd}$	
T4	85.8 ± 4.0 <sup>b</sup>	87.4 ± 7.4 <sup>b</sup>	$81.4 \pm 7.8^{cd}$	83.2 ± 4.6°	$81.6 \pm 8.0^{bc}$	$81.8 \pm 3.5^{d}$	
Т5	85.4 ± 6.9 <sup>b</sup>	86.2 ± 8.8 <sup>b</sup>	$90.4 \pm 6.7^{d}$	$73.6 \pm 17.8^{\text{abc}}$	87.6 ± 4.0°	$86.4 \pm 2.6^{d}$	
Т6	72.2 ± 19.0 <sup>a</sup>	68 ± 12.5ª	63.8 ± 13.9ª	$57.2 \pm 13.0^{ab}$	47.6 ± 19.1ª	37.6 ± 16.5ª	
Т7	$80 \pm 8.5^{ab}$	$77 \pm 16.3^{ab}$	$72.8 \pm 14.3^{\text{abc}}$	53.4 ± 27.1ª	50.4 ± 26.6ª	46.4 ± 24.4 <sup>ab</sup>	
Т8	83.2 ± 11.1 <sup>ab</sup>	79.8 ± 11.3 <sup>ab</sup>	$78.2 \pm 12.0^{bcd}$	$74.2 \pm 6.8^{\text{abc}}$	$71.2 \pm 10.1^{bc}$	55.2 ± 20.8 <sup>bc</sup>	
Т9	85.2 ± 6.2 <sup>b</sup>	$84.8 \pm 6.1^{\circ}$	$80.2 \pm 6.5^{cd}$	$76.6 \pm 11.4^{bc}$	64.6 ± 15.2 <sup>ab</sup>	57.8 ± 19.3 <sup>bc</sup>	
T10	83.8 ± 7.8 <sup>ab</sup>	$88.2 \pm 6.1^{\circ}$	$86.4 \pm 5.6^{cd}$	$78.8 \pm 9.7^{bc}$	$77 \pm 7.7^{bc}$	$71.6 \pm 13.8$ <sup>cd</sup>	
T11	$90.8 \pm 6.4^{\circ}$	86.8 ± 13.3 <sup>b</sup>	$88.8 \pm 5.8^{d}$	87 ± 6.2°	87.8 ± 3.0°	$83.8 \pm 1.7^{d}$	
Abnormality	/						
T1	$1.6 \pm 0.9$	$0.8 \pm 0.8$	$0.8 \pm 1.3$	$0.8 \pm 0.8$	$1.4 \pm 1.3$	$0.6 \pm 0.9^{ab}$	
Т2	$1.2 \pm 0.8$	$1 \pm 1.7$	$0.8 \pm 0.8$	$1.4 \pm 1.1$	$1.2 \pm 2.2$	$1.4 \pm 1.1^{ab}$	
Т3	$1.2 \pm 0.8$	$1.4 \pm 1.5$	$0.2 \pm 0.4$	$0.8 \pm 0.8$	$1 \pm 1.2$	$1.2 \pm 1.1^{ab}$	
T4	$2 \pm 0.7$	$1.2 \pm 0.8$	$1.8 \pm 2.9$	$1.6 \pm 1.3$	$1.6 \pm 1.5$	$1.4 \pm 1.7^{ab}$	
Т5	$1.2 \pm 1.8$	$1.8 \pm 0.8$	$0.8 \pm 0.8$	$1.8 \pm 1.6$	$1.6 \pm 1.9$	$1 \pm 1.0^{ab}$	
Т6	$1.6 \pm 1.1$	$1.4 \pm 1.1$	$0.8 \pm 1.1$	$0.8 \pm 0.8$	$0.6 \pm 0.5$	0.2 ± 0.4ª	
Т7	$2 \pm 1.4$	$1.8 \pm 1.3$	$1 \pm 1.4$	$1.2 \pm 0.8$	$2.2 \pm 2.2$	$0.4 \pm 0.5^{ab}$	
Т8	$1 \pm 1$	$1.8 \pm 2.4$	$1.2 \pm 1.8$	$1 \pm 1.2$	$1 \pm 1.2$	$1.6 \pm 0.9^{ab}$	
Т9	$1.2 \pm 0.8$	$1.6 \pm 1.7$	$1 \pm 1.4$	$0.8 \pm 1.1$	$1.4 \pm 1.1$	$2.2 \pm 1.9^{\circ}$	
T10	$1.8 \pm 2.7$	$1.4 \pm 0.5$	$0.6 \pm 0.9$	$0.6 \pm 0.9$	$1.8 \pm 1.3$	$2 \pm 1.6^{ab}$	
T11	$1.8 \pm 2.2$	$1.5 \pm 1$	$0.5 \pm 1$	$1.3 \pm 1.2$	$2.5 \pm 1$	$1.8 \pm 1.3^{ab}$	
Different let	tter notations in th	e same column ind	icate a significant d	lifference (p < 0.05	)		

Veterinary World, EISSN: 2231-0916



**Figure-1:** Spermatozoa trajectory pattern during cold storage in diluent (a) (CEP-3[m] + aqueous soybean extract [ASE] 1 at 30%), (b) (CEP-3[m] + ASE 2 at 30%), and (c) (CEP-3[m] + Egg Yolk at 10%).

Progressive motility indicates the percentage of motile spermatozoa with a VAP of more than 25 m/s. Meanwhile, spermatozoa M is the percentage of mobile spermatozoa [40]. Motility plays an essential role in the passage of spermatozoa to the oocyte and their penetration into the zona pellucida. It determines the vitality, metabolism status of spermatozoa, and the status of its cell membranes. Motile spermatozoa indicate intact cell membranes, while immotile ones indicate damaged membranes [39].

A decrease in M also contributes to a decrease in the speed of spermatozoa movement (velocity) during cold storage. There were similarities in the VCL values in the CEP-3(m) diluent and ASE 1 and the positive control. The VCL value shows the vigor or strength of the spermatozoa movement and their speed in a curved trajectory pattern but does not indicate progressivity. Meanwhile, spermatozoa in CEP-3(m) diluent and ASE 2 had a higher VSL value than ASE 1 and the positive control. The VSL value indicated the speed of movement of spermatozoa in a straight line. Several factors affect the velocity and M of spermatozoa, including the energy source of spermatozoa, viscosity, osmolarity, and pH. Based on the results of visual observations using CASA, it is evident that the movement of spermatozoa in the CEP-3(m) diluent and ASE 1 at the 30% level showed that many spermatozoa tended to rotate (Figure-1a). This is also supported by lower LIN and STR values and higher ALH values than spermatozoa in CEP-3(m) diluent and ASE 2. The resulting movement pattern is star-shaped, indicating that spermatozoa have H.

Hyperactivity is a condition in which spermatozoa move very quickly, but are not linear and progressive and tend to move around. Some of the causes of hyperactive spermatozoa include a surplus of energy sources and an indication of capacitation [41]. In this case, it is suspected that the cause was an increase in the viscosity of the CEP-3(m) diluent and ASE 1. The thicker solution makes it difficult for the spermatozoa to move progressively, causing them to rotate. The CEP-3(m) diluent formula and ASE 1 visually observed through CASA showed a lot of debris or vacuole around the spermatozoa, which further hampered their progressivity. Soybean extraction method 1 is a hot grinding method, which is a modified extraction method [28, 32].

The formula diluent CEP-3(m) and ASE- 2 at a 30% level showed that the solution was not too viscous, so the spermatozoa had no difficulty in moving, and very minimal debris was observed. During cold storage, spermatozoa in the CEP-3(m) diluent and ASE 2 showed a more regular and progressive movement/trajectory pattern (Figure-1b), including higher VSL, LIN, STR, BCF, and ALH, H values lower than in ASE 1. The disadvantage of ASE 2 is vigor, as the M of spermatozoa was not as strong as in ASE 1. The CEP-3 (m) diluent formula with a higher level of ASE 2 has the potential to produce better performance, thereby requiring further studies.

The protective effect of extracted soybean can also be determined by the percentage of spermatozoa viability during cold storage. Spermatozoa viability above 80% can be maintained in CEP-3(m) diluent and ASE 1 at the 25%–30% level until the 5<sup>th</sup> day. However, the CEP-3(m) diluent and ASE 2 can only last until the 2<sup>nd</sup> day. This was also supported by the decreasing value of spermatozoa M during cold storage at various levels of ASE 2. There was a slight increase in the value of spermatozoa abnormalities on the 5<sup>th</sup> day of storage, but overall, it was still within normal limits. Abnormalities during cooling can occur due to various physical and chemical treatments during storage. Another possibility, it was caused by low livestock genetic factors [36].

Every extraction method produces soybean extract with different compositions and characteristics. Soy lecithin can be extracted mechanically or chemically. Various soybean extraction methods have been developed previously, including water extraction, Pre-blanch Methode, and Hot Grinding extraction, which yield soya milk (soy milk). Soybean preparation before grinding includes the process of pre-soaking or without soaking, with or without the addition of NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (Pre-blanch Methode). Grinding can be done with cold or hot water (Hot Grinding Method). Mechanical extraction can be done through milling or extraction [14].

### Conclusion

Based on the results, soybean extracts 1 and 2 have the potential to serve as extracellular cryoprotectants to substitute EYs in modified CEP-3 basic diluents. Soybean extract 1 was found to support the life of spermatozoa up to the 5<sup>th</sup> day, although there are limiting factors, such as the viscosity and hyperactivity of spermatozoa. Soybean extract 2 can sustain spermatozoa until the 3<sup>rd</sup> day of cold storage and produces progressive, non-rotating movement patterns. Further, research is necessary to explore the potential of higher levels of soybean extract 2.

# **Authors' Contributions**

DR: Contributed the concept and design of the study, collected data, analyzed data, and reviewed the final version of the manuscript. KK, APAY: Contributed the concept, designed the study, and reviewed the manuscript. GC, SR and TS: Designed the study, supervision of the study, and reviewed the manuscript. All authors have read, reviewed, and approved the final manuscript.

### Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to Research grant Professor of the Faculty of Animal Husbandry, Brawijaya University, Indonesia, with contract number 827.11/UN10.F05/PN/2022, and The Ministry of Agriculture of Indonesia through the Agency of Agricultural Research and Development for funding this study.

### **Competing Interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

### **Publisher's Note**

Veterinary World remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published institutional affiliation.

### References

- 1. Vishwanath, R. and Shannon, P. (2000) Storage of bovine semen in liquid and frozen state. *Anim. Reprod. Sci.*, 62(1–3): 23–53.
- Yang, D.H, Standley, N.T. and Xu, Z.Z. (2018) Application of liquid semen technology under the seasonal dairy production system in New Zealand. *Anim. Reprod. Sci.*, 194: 2–10.
- Bousseau, S., Brillard, J.P., Marquant-Le Guienne, B., Guerin, B., Camus, A. and Lechat, M. (1998) Comparison of bacteriological qualities of various egg yolk sources and the *in vitro* and *in vivo* fertilizing potential of bovine semen frozen in egg yolk or lecithin-based diluents. *Theriogenology*, 50(5): 699–706.
- Singh, A., Singh, V.K., Narwade, B.M., Mohanty, T.K. and Atreja, S.K. (2011) Comparative quality assessment of buffalo (*Bubalus bubalis*) semen chilled (5°C) in egg yolk-and soya milk-based extenders. *Reprod. Domest. Anim.*, 47(4): 596–600.
- Kasimanickam, R., Kasimanickam, V., Tibary, A. and Pelzer, K. (2011) Effect of semen extenders on sperm parameters of ram semen during liquid storage at 4°C.

Small Rumin. Res., 99(2-3): 208-213.

- Sharaf, A.E., Khalil, W.A., Khalifa, E.I., Nassan, M.A., Swelum, A.A. and El-Harairy, M.A. (2022) The supplementation of bee bread methanolic extract to egg yolk or soybean lecithin extenders can improve the quality of cryopreserved ram semen. *Cells*, 11(21): 3403.
- 7. Pamungkas, F.A. and Krisnan, R. (2017) Utilization of soybeans as the extender change of egg yolk for cryopreservation of animal spermatozoa. *J. Penelit. Pengembangan Pertanian.*, 36(1): 21–27.
- Luna-Orozco, J.R., González-Ramos, M.A., Calderón-Leyva, G., Gaytán-Alemán, L.R., Arellano-Rodríguez, F., Ángel-García, O. and Véliz-Deras, F.G. (2019) Comparison of different diluents based on liposomes and egg yolk for ram semen cooling and cryopreservation. *Iran. J. Vet. Res.*, 20(2): 126–130.
- Raseona, A., Ajao, O.A., Nethengwe, L.D., Madzhie, L.R., Nedambale, T.L. and Barry, D.M. (2017) Viability of bull semen extended with commercial semen extender and two culture media stored at 24°C. S. Afr. J. Anim. Sci., 47(1): 49–55.
- Murphy, E.M., Meara, C.O., Eivers, B., Lonergan, P. and Fair, S. (2018) Comparison of plant-and egg yolk-based semen diluents on *in vitro* sperm kinematics and *in vivo* fertility of frozen-thawed bull semen. *Anim. Reprod. Sci.*, 191: 70–75.
- Mafolo, K., Pilane, C.M., Chitura, T. and Nedambale, T.L. (2020) Use of phosphatidylcholine in tris-based extender with or without egg yolk to freeze Bapedi ram semen. S. Afr. J. Anim. Sci., 50(3): 389–396.
- Toker, M. and Alcay, S. (2022) Comprehensive effects of fetal calf serum in soybean-lecithin based goat semen cryopreservation extenders and impacts on incubation resilience. *Kafkas Univ. Vet. Fak. Derg.*, 28(4): 455–460.
- Forouzanfar, M., Sharafi, M., Hosseini, S.M., Ostadhosseini, S., Hajian, M., Hosseini, L., Abedi, P., Nili, N., Rahmani, H.R. and Nasr-Esfahani, M.H. (2010) *In vitro* comparison of egg yolk-based and soybean lecithin-based extenders for cryopreservation of ram semen. *Theriogenology*, 73(4): 480–487.
- Layek, S.S., Mohanty, T.K., Kumaresan, A. and Parks, J.E. (2016) Cryopreservation of bull semen: Evolution from egg yolk based to soybean-based extenders. *Anim. Reprod. Sci.*, 172: 1–9.
- 15. Toker, M.B., Alcay, S., Gokce, E. and Ustuner, B. (2016) Cryopreservation of ram semen with antioxidant supplemented soybean lecithin-based extenders and impacts on incubation resilience. *Cryobiology*, 72(3): 205–209.
- incubation resilience. Cryobiology, 72(3): 205–209.
  16. Salmani, H., Nabi, M.M., Vaseghi-Dodaran, H., Rahman, M.B., Mohammadi-Sangcheshmeh, A., Shakeri, M., Towhidi, A., Shahneh, A.Z. and Zhandi, M. (2013) Effect of glutathione in soybean lecithin-based semen extender on goat semen quality after freeze-thawing. Small Rumin. Res., 112(1–3): 123–127.
- Alvarez-Rodriguez, M., Vicente-Carrillo, A. and Rodriguez-Martinez, H. (2017) Exogenous individual lecithin-phospholipids (Phosphatidylcholine and Phosphatidylglycerol) cannot prevent the oxidative stress imposed by cryopreservation of boar sperm. J. Vet. Med. Surg., 1(1): 1–11.
- Sun, L., Fan, W., Wu, C., Zhang, S., Dai, J. and Zhang, D. (2020) Effect of substituting different concentrations of soybean lecithin and egg yolk in tris-based extender on goat semen cryopreservation. *Cryobiology*, 92: 146–150.
- Akhter, S., Ansari, M.S., Rakha, B.A., Ullah, N., Andrabi, S.M.H. and Khalid, M. (2011) *In vitro* evaluation of liquid-stored buffalo semen at 5°C diluted in soya lecithin based extender (bioxcell<sup>®</sup>), tris-citric egg yolk, skim milk and egg yolk-citrate extenders. *Reprod. Domest. Anim.*, 46(1): 45–49.
- 20. Sisy, G.A., El-Nattat, W.S., El-Sheshtawy, R.I. and Abo El-Maaty, A.M. (2016) Substitution of egg yolk with different concentrations of soybean lecithin in tris-based extender

during bulls' semen preservability. *Asian Pac. J. Reprod.*, 5(6): 514–518.

- Akhter, S., Ansari, M.S., Andrabi, S.M.H., Rakha, B.A., Ullah, N. and Khalid, M. (2012) Soya-lecithin in extender improves the freezability and fertility of buffalo (*Bubalus bubalis*) bull spermatozoa. *Reprod. Domest. Anim.*, 47(5): 815–819.
- Noviansyah, L., Tjandrakirana, N.D. and Ducha, N. (2017) Effect of addition of soy in basic diluent tris-citric acid-fructose (TCF) on Spermatozoa motility of Boer goats post freezing. *LenteraBio*, 6(1): 23–26.
- Üstüner, B., Alçay, S., Nur, Z., Sağirkaya, H. and Soylu, M.K. (2014) Effect of egg yolk and soybean lecithin on tris-based extender in post-thaw ram semen quality and *in vitro* fertility. *Kafkas Univ. Vet. Fak. Derg.*, 20(3): 393–398.
- Ugur, M.R., Abdelrahman, A.S., Evans, H.C., Gilmore, A.A., Hitit, M., Arifiantini, R.I., Purwantara, B., Kaya, A. and Memili, E. (2019) Advances in cryopreservation of bull sperm. *Front. Vet. Sci.*, 6: 1–15.
- 25. Rezaei, Z., Żeynodini, S., Baghshahi, H., Towhidi, A. and Faraji, S. (2021) The effect of adding pyridoxine to soybean lecithin-based extender on goat semen quality parameters after the freeze-thawing process. *Iran. J. Vet. Res.*, 22(3): 234–238.
- Badr, M.R., Abdel-Khalek, E., Abdel-Khalek., Sakr, A.M., Hegazy, M.M. and Rawash, Z.M. (2020) Effect of level and time of l-arginine addition to semen extender on the freezability and fertilizing potentials of buffalo spermatozoa. *Assiut Vet. Med. J.*, 66(166): 19–30.
- Khalil, W.A., Abdel-Khalek, A.E., Falchi, L., El-Saidy, B.E. and Yousif, A.I. (2020) Effects of extender and packaging method on morphological and functional characteristics of cryopreserved Ossimi ram semen. *Asian Pac. J. Reprod.*, 9(3): 148–155.
- Immelda, K.H., Susilowati, S. and Yudaniayanti, I.S. (2019) Effect of soybean extract diluent (Glycine max) on viability and spermatozoa necrosis of Sapudi sheep [Pengaruh bahan pengencer sari kacang kedelai (*Glycine max*) terhadap viabilitas dan nekrosis spermatozoa domba Sapudi]. Ovozoa. J. Anim. Reprod., 8(1): 36–42.
- Sugiarto, N., Susilawati, T. and Wahyuningsih, S. (2014) Quality of liquid semen of Limousine during cooling using CEP-2 with the addition of various concentration of soymilk [Kualitas semen cair sapi limousin selama pendinginanmenggunakan pengencer cep-2 dengan penambahan berbagai konsentrasi sari kedelai]. J. Ternak. Tropika., 15(1): 51–57.

- Coester, J., Sulaiman, A. and Rizal, M. (2019) Viability of limousin spermatozoa preserved with tris diluent and various concentrations of soybean extract. *J. Trop. Anim. Sci. Technol.*, 6(2): 175–180.
- 31. Rezki, Z.M., Sansudewa, D. and Ondo, Y. (2016) Effect of diluent combination of soy juice and tris on the microscopic quality of spermatozoa of PO Kebumen cattle bulls. *J. Sain Peternak. Indones.*, 11(2): 67–74.
- 32. Sartini S., Djide, M.N., Permana, A.D. and Ismail. (2014) Soybean isoflavones extraction and analysis their concentration by ultra fast liquid chromatography. *J. Sainsmat.*, 3(2): 130–134.
- Da Costa, N. (2015) Semen Quality of Ongole Crossbreed (OC) during Cooling Using Different Diluents. Doctoral Dissertation. Animal Husbandry Faculty, Brawijaya University, Malang.
- 34. Indriani, T.S. and Wahyuningsih, S. (2013) Spermatozoa viability of limousin cattle preserved with water jacket and free water jacket method. *J. Vet.*, 14(3): 379–386.
- 35. Ratnawati, D., Isnaini, N. and Susilawati, T. (2018) Character motility of liquid semen on Ongole crossbreed (PO), Bali and Madura bulls with different diluents at cold storage. *Asian J. Microbiol. Biotech. Env. Sci.*, 20(1): 21–28.
- Ratnawati, D. and Firdaus, F. (2021) Viability and abnormality of sexed spermatozoa with albumin gradient in different diluents and antioxidants treatment. *IOP Conf. Ser. Earth Environ. Sci.*, 807(4): 042030.
- Ax, R., Dally, M., Didion, B.A., Lenz, R.W., Love, C.C., Varner, D.D., Hafez, B. and Bellin, M.E. (2000) Semen Evaluation. Reproduction in Farm Animals. Lippincott Williams and Wilkins, Baltimore, Maryland, USA, p363–375.
- Murphy, E.M., O'Meara, C., Eivers, B., Lonergan, P. and Fair, S. (2018) Effect of increasing equilibration time of diluted bull semen up to 72 h prior to freezing on sperm quality parameters and calving rate following artificial insemination. *Theriogenology*, 108: 217–222.
- 39. Ratnawati, D., Isnaini, N. and Susilawati, T. (2017) Pemanfaatan casa dalam observasi motilitas spermatozoa semen cair Sapi Madura dalam pengencer berbeda. *J. Ilmu-Ilmu Peter. Univ. Brawijaya.*, 27(1): 80–95.
- Contri, A., Valorz, C., Faustini, M., Wegher, L. and Carluccio, A. (2010) Effect of semen preparation on casa motility results in cryopreserved bull spermatozoa. *Theriogenology*, 74(3): 424–435.
- 41. Susilawati, T. (2011) Spermatology. First ed. Brawijaya University Press, Malang, p44–50.

\*\*\*\*\*\*